

Loewy series of parabolically induced G_1T -Verma modules ^{*}

ABE Noriyuki
Hokkaido University
Creative Research Institution (CRIS)
abenori@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

KANEDA Masaharu
Osaka City University
Department of Mathematics
kaneda@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

October 8, 2012

Abstract

Assuming the Lusztig conjecture on the irreducible characters for reductive algebraic groups in positive characteristic p , which is now a theorem for large p , we show that the modules for their Frobenius kernels induced from the simple modules of p -regular highest weights for their parabolic subgroups are rigid and determine their Loewy series.

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{k}$ of positive characteristic p , P a parabolic subgroup of G , T a maximal torus of P , and G_1 (resp. P_1) the Frobenius kernel of G (resp. P). In this paper we study the structure of G_1T -modules induced from the simple P_1T -modules of p -regular highest weights. Thus our study goes parallel to parabolically induced Verma modules in characteristic 0. In case P is a Borel subgroup of G , assuming Lusztig's conjecture for the irreducible characters for G_1T , which is now a theorem for large p thanks to [AJS], [KL], [L94], [KT], or more recently to [F], H. H. Andersen and the second author of the present paper showed that the induced modules are rigid and determined their Loewy series [AK]. We now show that the parabolically induced modules are also rigid and describe their Loewy series.

To go into more details, let B be a Borel subgroup of P containing T , Λ the character group of B , $R \subset \Lambda$ the root system of G relative to T , and R^+ the positive system of R such that the roots of B are $-R^+$. We let R^s denote the set of simple roots, and I a subset of R^s such that the root subgroups U_α of G associated to $\alpha \in I$ generate P together with B . Denote by $\hat{\nabla}_P$ the induction functor from the category of P_1T -modules to the category of G_1T -modules, and let $\hat{L}^P(\lambda)$ denote the simple P_1T -module of highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Our object of study is $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$. After stating some generalities in §§1 and 2, we specialize into the case where λ is p -regular, i.e., if $\alpha^\vee$ is the coroot of each root α and if $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha$, the case when $p \nmid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ for all roots α . If M is a finite dimensional G_1T -module, we call the sum of its simple submodules the socle of M and denote it by $\text{soc} M = \text{soc}^1 M$. If $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\text{soc} M$ is the quotient, we let $\text{soc}^2 M = \pi^{-1} \text{soc}(M/\text{soc} M)$,

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20G05.

^{*}supported in part by JSPS Grants in Aid for Scientific Research 23740004 AN and 23540023 KM

and repeat to construct a filtration $0 < \text{soc}M < \text{soc}^2M < \dots < M$, called the socle series of M . Dually, we call the intersection of all its maximal submodules the radical of M and denote it by $\text{rad}M = \text{rad}^1M$. Letting $\text{rad}^iM = \text{rad}(\text{rad}^{i-1}M)$ for $i > 1$, one obtains a filtration $M > \text{rad}M > \text{rad}^2M > \dots > 0$, called the radical series of M . It is known that the minimal i such that $\text{soc}^iM = M$ and the minimal j such that $\text{rad}^jM = 0$ coincide, called the Loewy length of M and denoted $\ell\ell(M)$. By definition each $\text{soc}_iM = \text{soc}^iM/\text{soc}^{i-1}M$, called the i -th socle layer of M , and $\text{rad}_iM = \text{rad}^iM/\text{rad}^{i+1}M$, called the i -th radical layer of M , are semisimple. Any filtration $0 < M^1 < M^2 < \dots < M$ with each subquotient semisimple has the length at least $\ell\ell(M)$. If the length of the filtration such a filtration $M^\bullet$ is $\ell\ell(M)$, then $\text{soc}^iM \geq M^i \geq \text{rad}^{\ell\ell(M)-i+1}M$ for each i . We say M is rigid iff the socle series and the radical series of M coincide. In §3 we employ graded representation theory from [AJS] to show that the induction functor $\hat{\nabla}_P$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. Each block of finite dimensional G_1T -modules is equivalent to the category of $p\mathbb{Z}R$ -graded finite dimensional modules over the endomorphism $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra E of a projective $p\mathbb{Z}R$ -generator of the block. Assuming Lusztig's conjecture for the irreducible characters for G_1T , Andersen, Jantzen and Soergel [AJS] showed that the algebra E for a p -regular block is $(p\mathbb{Z}R \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded and is Koszul with respect to its $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation. We show in §4 that the rigidity of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ for p -regular λ follows from a result in [BGS]. Unlike the case $P = B$ the number of G_1T -composition factors of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ varies depending on the highest weight λ . Nonetheless, we show also in §4 that the Loewy length of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ is uniformly $\ell(w_0w_I) + 1$ with w_0 (resp. w_I) the longest element of the Weyl group W (resp. W_I) of G (resp. P). In §5 we determine the Loewy series of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$.

Given a category C and its objects X and Y , $C(X, Y)$ will denote the set of morphisms in C from X to Y .

The second author of the paper is grateful to Arun Ram and the University of Melbourne for the hospitality during his visit in August of 2011, where some of initial ideas of the present work were conceived. We also thank Peter Fiebig for a helpful discussion.

1° Some generalities

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over an algebraically field $\mathbb{k}$ of positive characteristic p , B a Borel subgroup of G , T a maximal torus of B , Λ the character group of B , $R \subset \Lambda$ the root system of G relative to T , and R^+ the positive system of R such that the roots of B are $-R^+$. We let $R^s \subset R^+$ denote the set of simple roots, and Λ^+ the set of dominant weights of Λ . For each $\alpha \in R$ we let $\alpha^\vee$ denote the coroot of α . Let W be the Weyl group of G generated by the reflections s_α , $\alpha \in R$, and ℓ the length function on W with respect to the simple reflections. Let w_0 be the longest element of W .

For each $\alpha \in R$ let U_α denote the root subgroup of G associated to α . Let $I \subseteq R^s$ and $P = P_I = \langle B, U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I \rangle$ the standard parabolic subgroup of G associated to I , and let L_I denote its standard Levi subgroup. Let $R_I \subseteq R$ denote the root system of L_I with its induced positive system R_I^+ . Put $\Lambda_P = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \ \forall \alpha \in I\}$ and $\Lambda_I^+ = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall \alpha \in I\}$. Let W_I be the Weyl group of P and w_I its longest element. Put $w^I = w_0w_I$. Let $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha$ and $\rho_P = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \alpha \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. For simplicity we will assume G is semisimple and simply connected. Let $W_p = W \ltimes p\mathbb{Z}R$,

$W_{I,p} = W_I \ltimes p\mathbb{Z}R_I$, and $\rho_I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_I^+} \alpha = \rho - \rho_P$. For $x \in W_p$ we will write $x \bullet \lambda$ for $x(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$. In case $x \in W_{I,p}$, $x \bullet \lambda = x(\lambda + \rho_I) - \rho_I$. We will also let $(-x) \bullet \lambda$ stand for $-(x \bullet \lambda) - 2\rho = -x(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$.

(1.1) Let α_0 be the highest short root of R and let $h = \langle \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + 1$ the Coxeter number of G .

Lemma: $2\rho_P = w_I\rho + \rho = w_0(w^I \bullet 0) \in \Lambda_P \cap \Lambda^+$ with $\langle 2\rho_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in [2, h] \ \forall \alpha \in R^s \setminus I$.

Proof: One has

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(w^I \bullet 0) &= w_0(w_0 w_I \rho - \rho) = w_I \rho + \rho = \rho + w_I \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \beta + \sum_{\beta \in R_I^+} \beta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \beta + \sum_{\beta \in R_I^+} \beta \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \beta - \sum_{\beta \in R_I^+} \beta \right) = \sum_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \beta = 2\rho_P. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \in I$, $\langle 2\rho_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle w_I \rho + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \rho, w_I \alpha^\vee \rangle + 1 = 0$, and hence $2\rho_P \in \Lambda_P$. If $\alpha \in R^s \setminus I$, $\langle 2\rho_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle w_I \rho + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \rho, w_I \alpha^\vee \rangle + 1 \leq \langle \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + 1 = h$.

(1.2) If $H \leq K$ are closed subgroups of G , we let ind_H^K denote the induction functor from the category $H\mathbf{Mod}$ of rational H -modules to the category $K\mathbf{Mod}$ of rational K -modules: if $M \in H\mathbf{Mod}$, $\text{ind}_H^K M = \{f \in \mathbf{Sch}_k(K, M) \mid f(kh) = h^{-1}f(k) \ \forall k \in K \forall h \in H\}$. We let $\text{Dist}(H)$ (resp. $\text{Dist}(K)$) denote the algebra of distributions on H (resp. K) and let $\text{coind}_H^K = \text{Dist}(K) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(H)} ?$ denote the coinduction functor from $\text{Dist}(H)\mathbf{Mod}$ to $\text{Dist}(K)\mathbf{Mod}$. For a finite dimensional H -module M we will mean by M^* the $\mathbb{k}$ -linear dual of M . By $\otimes$ we will always mean $\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}$ unless otherwise specified. Let H_1 denote the Frobenius kernel of H .

If M is a P -module, $\text{coind}_{P_1}^{G_1} M$ extends to a $G_1 P$ -module with P acting on $\text{Dist}(G_1)$ and $\text{Dist}(P_1)$ by the adjoint action and as given on M , in which case we will write $\text{coind}_P^{G_1 P} M$ for $\text{coind}_{P_1}^{G_1} M$ [J, I.8.20]. Let $\text{Ru}(P)$ denote the unipotent radical of P .

Proposition (cf. [J, II.3.5]): Let $M \in P\mathbf{Mod}$.

(i) There is an isomorphism of $G_1 P$ -modules $\text{ind}_P^{G_1 P} M \simeq \text{coind}_P^{G_1 P} (M \otimes 2(1-p)\rho_P)$.

(ii) If M is finite dimensional, there is an isomorphism of $G_1 P$ -modules

$$(\text{ind}_P^{G_1 P} M)^* \simeq \text{ind}_P^{G_1 P} (M^* \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P).$$

Proof: Recall from [J, I.8.20] an isomorphism of $G_1 P$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \text{coind}_P^{G_1 P} M \simeq \text{ind}_P^{G_1 P} (M \otimes \chi|_P(\chi')^{-1}), \\ (2) \quad & (\text{ind}_P^{G_1 P} M)^* \simeq \text{ind}_P^{G_1 P} (M^* \otimes \chi|_P(\chi')^{-1}) \quad \text{if } \dim M < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where χ (resp. χ') is a 1-dimensional representation of G (resp. P) through which G (resp. P) acts on $\text{Dist}(G_1)_\ell^{G_1} = \{\mu \in \text{Dist}(G_1) \mid \rho_\ell(x)\mu = \mu \ \forall x \in G_1\}$ (resp. $\text{Dist}(P_1)_\ell^{P_1} =$

$\{\mu \in \text{Dist}(P_1) | \rho_\ell(x)\mu = \mu \ \forall x \in P_1\}$, where ρ_ℓ denotes the left regular action. As χ is trivial by [J, II.3.4/I.9.7], (1) and (2) read, resp.,

$$(3) \quad \text{coind}_P^{G_1P} M \simeq \text{ind}_P^{G_1P} (M \otimes (\chi')^{-1}),$$

$$(4) \quad (\text{ind}_P^{G_1P} M)^* \simeq \text{ind}_P^{G_1P} (M^* \otimes (\chi')^{-1}).$$

Recall from [J, I.9.7] that χ' is given by $g \mapsto \det(\text{Ad}(g))^{p-1}$, $g \in P$. In particular, χ' factors through $P/\text{Ru}(P)$, and is trivial on the derived subgroup of L_I . To compute χ' , therefore, we have only to consider the adjoint representation of T on $\text{Lie}(P) = \text{Lie}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in R^+} \text{Lie}(U_{-\beta}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_I^+} \text{Lie}(U_\alpha)$. Thus for each $t \in T$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\text{Ad}(t)) &= \left(\sum_{\beta \in R^+} -\beta + \sum_{\alpha \in R_I^+} \alpha \right)(t) = \left\{ - \left(\sum_{\beta \in R^+} \beta - \sum_{\alpha \in R_I^+} \alpha \right) \right\}(t) = \left(- \sum_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \beta \right)(t) \\ &= (-2\rho_P)(t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\chi' = (p-1)(-2\rho_P)$, and hence the assertions.

(1.3) Likewise, write $\text{coind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} M$ for the G_1T -module $\text{coind}_{P_1}^{G_1T} M$ in case M is a P_1T -module.

Proposition: *Let $M \in P_1T\text{Mod}$.*

(i) *There is an isomorphism of G_1T -modules $\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} M \simeq \text{coind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} (M \otimes 2(1-p)\rho_P)$.*

(ii) *If M is finite dimensional, there is an isomorphism of G_1T -modules*

$$(\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} M)^* \simeq \text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} (M^* \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P).$$

(1.4) If L is a simple P -module, the P -action on L factors through $P/\text{Ru}(P)$, affording a simple L_I -module of highest weight belonging to Λ_I^+ . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ (resp. $\lambda \in \Lambda_I^+$), we let $L(\lambda)$ (resp. $L^P(\lambda)$) denote the simple G - (resp. P -) module of highest weight λ . Likewise for simple P_1T -modules. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we let $\hat{L}(\lambda)$ (resp. $\hat{L}^P(\lambda)$) denote the simple G_1T - (resp. P_1T -) module of highest weight λ . Let $\Lambda_p = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in [0, p] \ \forall \alpha \in R^s\}$. Each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ admits a decomposition $\lambda = \lambda^0 + p\lambda^1$ with $\lambda^0 \in \Lambda_p$ and $\lambda^1 \in \Lambda$. Thus $\hat{L}^P(\lambda) \simeq L^P(\lambda^0) \otimes p\lambda^1$; if $\lambda^0 = \lambda_I^0 + \lambda_I^c$ with $\lambda_I^c \in \Lambda_P$, then $\hat{L}^P(\lambda) \simeq L^P(\lambda_I^0) \otimes (\lambda_I^c + p\lambda^1) \simeq L^P(\lambda^0) \otimes p\lambda^1$. In particular,

$$(1) \quad \{\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} (\hat{L}^P(\lambda))\}^* \simeq \text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} (\hat{L}^P((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)) \otimes p(2\rho_P + w_I\lambda^1 - \lambda^1)$$

with $2\rho_P + w_I\lambda^1 - \lambda^1 \in \mathbb{Z}R$.

If H is a closed subgroup of G and if M is an H -module, we let $\text{soc}_H M$ (resp. $\text{rad}_H M$) denote the socle (resp. the radical) of M , and put $\text{hd}_H M = M/(\text{rad}_H M)$.

Proposition: *For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{soc}_{G_1T} \text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} (\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) &= \hat{L}(\lambda), \\ \text{hd}_{G_1T} \text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T} (\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) &= \hat{L}(-w_I\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1 + 2(p-1)\rho_P)^* \\ &= \hat{L}(w_I \bullet \lambda) \otimes p(\lambda^1 - 2\rho_P - w_I\lambda^1 + w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 - ((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have $\text{soc}_{P_1T}(\text{ind}_{B_1T}^{P_1T}\lambda) \simeq \text{soc}_{P_1T}(\text{ind}_{(B/\text{Ru}(P))_1T}^{(P/\text{Ru}(P))_1T}\lambda) = \hat{L}^P(\lambda)$. Then

$$\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\hat{L}^P(\lambda) \leq \text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\text{ind}_{B_1T}^{P_1T}(\lambda) \simeq \text{ind}_{B_1T}^{G_1T}\lambda.$$

It follows that $\text{soc}_{G_1T}(\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) = \{\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\hat{L}^P(\lambda)\} \cap \text{soc}_{G_1T}(\text{ind}_{B_1T}^{G_1T}\lambda) = \hat{L}(\lambda)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hd}_{G_1T}(\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) &\simeq \{\text{soc}_{G_1T}((\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\hat{L}^P(\lambda))^*)\}^* \\ &\simeq \{\text{soc}_{G_1T}(\text{ind}_{B_1T}^{G_1T}(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)^* \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P))\}^* \quad \text{by (1.2.ii).} \end{aligned}$$

Now $\hat{L}^P(\lambda)^* = (L^P(\lambda^0) \otimes p\lambda^1)^* = L^P(\lambda^0)^* \otimes -p\lambda^1 = L^P(-w_I\lambda^0) \otimes -p\lambda^1 = \hat{L}^P(-w_I\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1)$. Also $\forall \nu \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}^P(\nu) \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P &\leq (\text{ind}_{B_1T}^{P_1T}\nu) \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P \\ &\simeq \text{ind}_{B_1T}^{P_1T}(\nu \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P) \quad \text{by the tensor identity,} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\hat{L}^P(\nu) \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P \leq \text{soc}_{P_1T}\text{ind}_{B_1T}^{P_1T}(\nu \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P) = \hat{L}^P(\nu \otimes 2(p-1)\rho_P).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hd}_{G_1T}(\text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) &\simeq \{\text{soc}_{G_1T}(\text{ind}_{B_1T}^{G_1T}(\hat{L}^P(-w_I\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1 + 2(p-1)\rho_P)))\}^* \\ &= \hat{L}(-w_I\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1 + 2(p-1)\rho_P)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} -w_I\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1 + 2(p-1)\rho_P &= -w_I\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1 + (p-1)(w_I\rho + \rho) \quad \text{by (1.1)} \\ &= -w_I(\lambda^0 + \rho) - \rho + p(w_I\rho + \rho - \lambda^1) = (-w_I) \bullet \lambda + p(w_I\lambda^1 + w_I\rho + \rho - \lambda^1) \\ &= (-w_I) \bullet \lambda + p(w_I\lambda^1 + 2\rho_P - \lambda^1) \quad \text{by (1.1) again.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}(-w_I\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1 + 2(p-1)\rho_P)^* &= \{\hat{L}((-w_I) \bullet \lambda + p(w_I\lambda^1 + 2\rho_P - \lambda^1))\}^* \\ &= \hat{L}(-w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)) \otimes p\{-w_I\lambda^1 - 2\rho_P + \lambda^1 + w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 - ((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1\} \end{aligned}$$

with $-w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda) = -w_0(-w_I(\lambda + \rho) - \rho) = w_0w_I(\lambda + \rho) - \rho = w_0w_I \bullet \lambda = w^I \bullet \lambda$.

(1.5) **Corollary:** Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

(i) $\text{hd}_{P_1T}\hat{L}(\lambda) = \hat{L}^P(\lambda)$ while $\text{soc}_{P_1T}\hat{L}(\lambda) = \hat{L}^P(w_Iw_0\lambda^0 + p\lambda^1)$.

(ii) If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $\text{hd}_PL(\lambda) = L^P(\lambda)$ while $\text{soc}_PL(\lambda) = L^P(w_Iw_0\lambda)$.

Proof: (i) For each $\nu \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1T\text{Mod}(\hat{L}(\lambda), \hat{L}^P(\nu)) &\simeq G_1T\text{Mod}(\hat{L}(\lambda), \text{ind}_{P_1T}^{G_1T}\hat{L}^P(\nu)) \\ &= \delta_{\lambda\nu}\mathbb{k} \quad \text{by (1.4).} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{hd}_{P_1T}\hat{L}(\lambda) = \hat{L}^P(\lambda)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{soc}_{P_1T}\hat{L}(\lambda) &\simeq \{\text{hd}_{P_1T}(\hat{L}(\lambda)^*)\}^* = \{\text{hd}_{P_1T}\hat{L}(-w_0\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1)\}^* = \hat{L}^P(-w_0\lambda^0 - p\lambda^1)^* \\ &= \{L^P(-w_0\lambda^0) \otimes -p\lambda^1\}^* \simeq L^P(w_Iw_0\lambda^0) \otimes p\lambda^1 = \hat{L}^P(w_Iw_0\lambda^0 + p\lambda^1). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For each $\mu \in \Lambda_I^+$

$$\begin{aligned} P\mathbf{Mod}(L(\lambda), L^P(\mu)) &\simeq G\mathbf{Mod}(L(\lambda), \text{ind}_P^G L^P(\mu)) \leq G\mathbf{Mod}(L(\lambda), \text{ind}_P^G \text{ind}_B^P(\mu)) \\ &\simeq G\mathbf{Mod}(L(\lambda), \text{ind}_B^G(\mu)) = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \mathbb{k}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{hd}_P L(\lambda) = L^P(\lambda)$. Then

$$\text{soc}_P L(\lambda) \simeq \{\text{hd}_P(L(\lambda)^*)\}^* = \{\text{hd}_P L(-w_0\lambda)\}^* = L^P(-w_0\lambda)^* = L^P(w_I w_0\lambda).$$

(1.6) Let H be a closed subgroup of G and ϕ an automorphism of H . If M is an H -module, by ${}^\phi M$ we will mean an H -module of ambient $\mathbb{k}$ -linear space M with the H -action twisted by ϕ^{-1} [J, I.2.15/3.5]: $\forall h \in H \forall m \in M$, the action of h on m in ${}^\phi M$ is given by $\phi^{-1}(h)m$. In particular, under the conjugate action of W on T , $\forall w \in W$ and $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$(1) \quad {}^w \lambda = w\lambda.$$

If K is a closed subgroup of H and V is a K -module, there is an isomorphism of ${}^w H$ -modules [J, I.3.5.4]

$$(2) \quad {}^w \text{ind}_K^H(V) \simeq \text{ind}_{{}^w K}^{{}^w H}({}^w V).$$

Throughout the rest of the paper we will abbreviate $\text{ind}_{P_1 T}^{G_1 T}$ (resp. $\text{ind}_{B_1 T}^{P_1 T}$) as $\hat{\nabla}_P$ (resp. $\hat{\nabla}^P$). More generally, for $w \in W$ let ${}^w P = wPw^{-1}$ and put $\hat{\nabla}_{{}^w P} = \text{ind}_{({}^w P)_1 T}^{G_1 T}$, $\hat{\nabla}^{{}^w P} = \text{ind}_{({}^w B)_1 T}^{({}^w P)_1 T}$. Let also $\hat{\nabla}_w = \text{ind}_{({}^w B)_1 T}^{G_1 T}$; we will abbreviate $\hat{\nabla}_e$ as $\hat{\nabla}$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $w \in W$ we will write $\lambda\langle w \rangle$ for $\lambda + (p-1)(w \bullet 0)$ after [AJS]. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad {}^w \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) &\simeq \hat{\nabla}_{{}^w P}({}^w \hat{L}^P(\lambda)) \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &\leq \hat{\nabla}_{{}^w P}({}^w \hat{\nabla}^P(\lambda)) \\ &\simeq \hat{\nabla}_{{}^w P}(\hat{\nabla}_{{}^w B}^{{}^w P}({}^w \lambda)) \quad \text{by (2) again} \\ &\simeq \hat{\nabla}_w(w\lambda) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &= \hat{\nabla}_w(w \bullet \lambda - w \bullet 0) \simeq \hat{\nabla}_w(w \bullet \lambda + (p-1)(w \bullet 0)) \otimes -p(w \bullet 0) \\ &= \hat{\nabla}_w((w \bullet \lambda)\langle w \rangle) \otimes -p(w \bullet 0). \end{aligned}$$

(1.7) Put $\hat{\Delta} = \text{coind}_{B_1^+ T}^{G_1 T}$. Let τ be the Chevalley antiinvolution of G such that $\tau|_T = \text{id}_T$ [J, II.1.16], and hence $\tau(U_\alpha) = U_{-\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in R$. If H is a subgroup of G and if M is a finite dimensional H -module, let M^τ be the $\tau(H)$ -module with the ambient space M^* and the $\tau(H)$ -action twisted by τ : $\forall x \in \tau(H)$, $\forall f \in M^*$, $\forall m \in M$, $(xf)(m) = f(\tau(x)m)$. Recall from [J, II.9.3.5] that there is a functorial isomorphism $(?^\tau) \circ \hat{\nabla} \simeq \hat{\Delta} \circ (?^\tau)$ on the category of finite dimensional $B_1 T$ -modules. More generally, put $B^+ = \tau B$, $P^+ = \tau P = \langle B^+, U_{-\alpha} | \alpha \in I \rangle$ and let $\hat{\Delta}_P = \text{coind}_{P_1^+ T}^{G_1 T}$. If M is a finite dimensional $P_1 T$ -module, there is an isomorphism of $G_1 T$ -modules

$$(1) \quad (\hat{\nabla}_P(M))^\tau \simeq \hat{\Delta}_P(M^\tau).$$

Let $U_1^+(w_I) = \prod_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I} U_{\beta,1}$ be the Frobenius kernel of the unipotent radical of P^+ . If V is a G_1T -module, let $V^{U_1^+(w_I)} = \{v \in V \mid xv = v \ \forall x \in U_1^+(w_I)\}$. If M is a B_1T -module, as $G_1 = U_1^+(w_I)P_1$, $\hat{\nabla}(M)^{U_1^+(w_I)} = \{\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{k}}(G_1T, M)^{B_1T}\}^{U_1^+(w_I)} = \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{k}}(P_1T, M)^{B_1T}$ maintains a structure of P_1T -module such that

$$(2) \quad \hat{\nabla}(M)^{U_1^+(w_I)} = \hat{\nabla}^P(M).$$

Recall also that each $\hat{\nabla}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, is projective/injective as B_1^+T -module [J, II.9.5]. As $U_1^+(w_I)$ is a normal subgroup of B_1^+ , $U_1^+(w_I)$ is exact in B_1^+ [J, I.6.5.2], and hence $\hat{\nabla}(\lambda)$ remains injective/projective as $U_1^+(w_I)$ -module.

2° Translation functors

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ let T_{λ}^{μ} denote the translation functor on the G_1T -modules. If M is a $L_{I,1}T$ -module, we say M belongs to λ iff all the $L_{I,1}T$ -composition factors of M have highest weights belonging to $W_{I,p} \bullet \lambda$. We let $T_{I,\lambda}^{\mu}$ denote the translation functor on the $L_{I,1}T$ -modules.

For each $\alpha \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $H_{\alpha,n} = \{v \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \mid \langle v + \rho, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = pn\}$. We call a connected component of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\alpha,n}$ an alcove. If $F \subseteq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, $\overline{F}$ will denote the closure of F in $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We say $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is p -regular iff λ lies in an alcove. If $x \in W_p$ and A is an alcove, we will write xA to mean $x \bullet A$.

(2.1) **Lemma:** *Let $\eta \in \Lambda$ and E a simple G -module of extremal weight η . If $w\eta \in \Lambda_I^+$, $w \in W_I$, and if $\alpha \in I$, then $w\eta + \alpha$ is not a weight of E .*

Proof: Let $x \in W$ with $x\eta \in \Lambda^+$, and put $\nu = x\eta$, $\nu' = w\eta$. Let $J = \{\beta \in I \mid \langle \nu', \beta^{\vee} \rangle = 0\}$, $W_J = \langle s_{\beta} \mid \beta \in J \rangle$, $W^J = \{y \in W \mid y\beta > 0 \ \forall \beta \in J\}$, and write $xw^{-1} = y_1y_2$ with $y_1 \in W^J$, $y_2 \in W_J$. Just suppose $w\eta + \alpha$ is a weight of E . Then $\nu + y_1\alpha = y_1(\nu' + \alpha)$ would also be a weight of E . As ν is the highest weight of E , $y_1\alpha < 0$, and hence $\alpha \notin J$. Then $0 < \langle \nu', \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = \langle y_1\nu', y_1\alpha^{\vee} \rangle = \langle \nu, y_1\alpha^{\vee} \rangle$, and hence $y_1\alpha > 0$, absurd.

(2.2) **Proposition:** *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with μ lying in the closure of the facet λ belongs to with respect to W_p . Regarding an $L_{I,1}T$ -module as a P_1T -module through the quotient $P \rightarrow P/\text{Ru}(P)$, there is a functorial isomorphism of G_1T -modules on the category of $L_{I,1}T$ -modules*

$$T_{\lambda}^{\mu} \hat{\nabla}_P(?) \simeq \hat{\nabla}_P(T_{I,\lambda}^{\mu}?).$$

Proof: Let M be an $L_{I,1}T$ -module belonging to the λ -block, and E a simple G -module of extremal weight $\mu - \lambda$. Let pr_{μ} (resp. $\text{pr}_{I,\mu}$) be the projection to the μ -block of G_1T - (resp. $L_{I,1}T$ -) modules. Thus $T_{\lambda}^{\mu} \hat{\nabla}_P(M) = \text{pr}_{\mu}(E \otimes \hat{\nabla}_P(M))$. If $w(\mu - \lambda) \in \Lambda_I^+$ with $w \in W_I$ and $v \in E \setminus 0$ is of weight $w(\mu - \lambda)$, then $\text{Dist}(L_I)v$ is by (2.1) an L_I -module of highest weight $w(\mu - \lambda)$. If we put $E' = \text{Dist}(L_I)v$, $T_{I,\lambda}^{\mu} M = \text{pr}_{I,\mu}(E' \otimes M)$ [J, Remark II.7.6.1]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\lambda}^{\mu} \hat{\nabla}_P(M) &= \text{pr}_{\mu}(E \otimes \hat{\nabla}_P(M)) \simeq \text{pr}_{\mu}(\hat{\nabla}_P(E \otimes M)) \\ &\geq \text{pr}_{\mu}(\hat{\nabla}_P(E' \otimes M)) \geq \hat{\nabla}_P(\text{pr}_{I,\mu}(E' \otimes M)) = \hat{\nabla}_P(T_{I,\lambda}^{\mu}(M)). \end{aligned}$$

As it becomes an isomorphism for $M = \hat{\nabla}^P(x \bullet \lambda)$ and $x \in W_{I,p}$, the isomorphism for general M follows using the five lemma.

(2.3) **Corollary:** *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Assume that μ lies in the closure of the facet λ belongs to with respect to W_p . Let F_I be the facet λ belongs to with respect to $W_{I,p}$ and let $\hat{F}_I$ be its upper closure with respect to $W_{I,p}$. Then*

$$T_\lambda^\mu \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) \simeq \begin{cases} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\mu)) & \text{if } \mu \in \hat{F}_I, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

in the first case of which one has a commutative diagram of G_1T -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\lambda^\mu \hat{\nabla}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \hat{\nabla}(\mu) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ T_\lambda^\mu \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\mu)). \end{array}$$

(2.4) For $\alpha \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s_{\alpha,n}$ denote the reflection in the wall $H_{\alpha,n}$.

Proposition: *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with λ lying in an alcove A and $\mu \in \bar{A}$. Assume $\{x \in W_p | x \bullet \mu = \mu\} = \{e, s_{\alpha,n}\}$ for some $\alpha \in R_I^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If M is an $L_{I,1}T$ -module belonging to μ , there is an isomorphism of G_1T -modules*

$$T_\mu^\lambda \hat{\nabla}_P(M) \simeq \hat{\nabla}_P(T_{I,\mu}^\lambda M),$$

regarding M and $T_{I,\mu}^\lambda M$ as P_1T -modules via the quotient $P \rightarrow P/\text{Ru}(P)$.

Proof: Arguing as in (2.2) yields $T_\mu^\lambda \hat{\nabla}_P(M) \geq \hat{\nabla}_P(T_{I,\mu}^\lambda M)$. On the other hand, if $M = \hat{\nabla}^P(x \bullet \mu)$ for some $x \in W_{I,p}$,

$$\text{ch } T_\mu^\lambda \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{\nabla}^P(x \bullet \mu)) = \text{ch } T_\mu^\lambda \hat{\nabla}(x \bullet \mu) = \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}(x \bullet \lambda) + \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}(xs_{\alpha,n} \bullet \lambda)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}_P(T_{I,\mu}^\lambda \hat{\nabla}^P(x \bullet \mu)) &= \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{\nabla}^P(x \bullet \mu)) + \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{\nabla}^P(xs_{\alpha,n} \bullet \lambda)) \quad \text{as } s_{\alpha,n} \in W_{I,p} \\ &= \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}(x \bullet \lambda) + \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}(xs_{\alpha,n} \bullet \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

By additivity the character equality holds for general M , and hence the assertion.

(2.5) **Corollary:** *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ and keep the assumptions on λ and μ from (2.4).*

(i) $T_\mu^\lambda \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\mu))$ admits a G_1T -filtration whose subquotients are $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(x \bullet \lambda))$, $x \in W_{I,p}$, with multiplicity $m_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{ch } T_{I,\mu}^\lambda \hat{L}^P(\mu) = \sum_{x \in W_{I,p}} m_x \text{ch } \hat{L}^P(x \bullet \lambda)$.

(ii) If $\lambda < s_{\alpha,n} \bullet \lambda$, then $\text{soc}_{G_1T} T_\mu^\lambda \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\mu)) = \hat{L}(\lambda)$.

Proof: For (i) argue as in (2.2). As $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\mu)) \leq \hat{\nabla}(\mu)$, (ii) follows from the fact that $\text{soc}_{G_1T} T_\mu^\lambda \hat{\nabla}(\mu) = \hat{L}(\lambda)$.

3° Grading the induction functor

In this section we employ graded representation theory from [AJS] to show that our induction functor $\hat{\nabla}_P$ can be graded on p -regular blocks. To facilitate reference to [AJS], we will adapt to their notations except for $\mathbb{k} = k$, $\Lambda = X$, and $\hat{L} = L_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Let $S_{\mathbb{k}}$ be the symmetric algebra on $\mathbb{Z}R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ over $\mathbb{k}$ and $\hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}}$ its completion along the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ generated by R . We will denote each $\alpha \in R$ in $S_{\mathbb{k}}$ by h_{α} after [AJS, 14.3]. Fix a p -regular weight λ^+ belonging to the bottom dominant alcove, and put $\Omega = W_p \bullet \lambda^+$, $Y = p\mathbb{Z}R$. For all the unexplained notations we refer to [AJS].

(3.1) Let us first recall [AJS, §18] to suit our objectives. The category of finite dimensional G_1T -modules belonging to the block Ω may be identified with $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ from [AJS]. For each $\lambda \in \Omega$ let $Q_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ be the projective cover and the injective hull of $\hat{L}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$. If $Q = \bigoplus_{w \in W} Q_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+)$, Q is a projective Y -generator of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ [AJS, E.3]. Thus, if $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\#}(Q, Q)^{\text{op}} = \{\bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(Q[\gamma], Q)\}^{\text{op}}$ with $Q[\gamma] = \bigoplus_w Q_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma)$, it is equipped with a structure of finite dimensional Y -graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. Denoting the category of Y -graded $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ -modules of finite type by $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}\mathbf{modgr}_Y$, the functor $H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\#}(Q, ?) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(Q[\gamma], ?)$ gives an equivalence of categories from $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ to $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}\mathbf{modgr}_Y$ with quasi-inverse $v = Q \otimes_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}} ?$ [AJS, E.4].

Let $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}})$ denote the deformation category over $\hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$. If $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, \hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is its full subcategory consisting of the objects that are free over $\hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}}$, there is a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega}$ from $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, \hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}})$ to the combinatorial category $\mathcal{K}(\Omega, \hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}})$ [AJS, 9.4]. Each $Q_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ lifts to a projective object $Q_{\hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}}}(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}})$, and $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega}Q_{\hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}}}(\lambda)$ admits a graded $S_{\mathbb{k}}$ -form $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$ in the graded combinatorial category $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})$. If $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+)$ and if $E_{\Omega} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^{\#}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$, then E_{Ω} is a $(Y \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded $S_{\mathbb{k}}$ -algebra of finite type and there is an isomorphism of Y -graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras $E_{\Omega} \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} \simeq E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$. Thus $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ comes equipped with a structure of finite dimensional $(Y \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ the category of finite dimensional $(Y \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ -modules after [AJS, 18.18] and let $\bar{v}$ denote the functor from $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ composite of the forgetful functor from $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ to $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}\mathbf{modgr}_Y$ and v [AJS, 18.19]. Each $Q_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, $Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda \langle w \rangle)$, and $\hat{L}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, $w \in W$, admits a graded object $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda)$, and $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$, respectively, such that $\bar{v}\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq Q_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, $\bar{v}\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda) \simeq Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda \langle w \rangle)$, and $\bar{v}\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq L_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ [AJS, 18.8 and 18.10].

(3.2) Fix $\lambda_I^+ \in \Lambda_I^+ \cap W_p \bullet \lambda^+$ with $\langle \lambda_I^+ + \rho, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle < p \forall \alpha \in R_I^+$. Let $\Omega_I = W_{I, p} \bullet \lambda_I^+$ and let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I)$ denote the category of finite dimensional $L_{I, 1}T$ -modules belonging to the block Ω_I . Put $Y_I = p\mathbb{Z}I$. For each $\nu \in \Lambda$ let $Q_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\nu)$ be the projective cover of $\hat{L}^P(\nu)$ as $L_{I, 1}T$ -module. If $Q_I = \bigoplus_{w \in W_I} Q_{I, \mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda_I^+)$, it is a projective Y_I -generator of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I)$. Let $E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I)^{\#}(Q_{I, \mathbb{k}}, Q_{I, \mathbb{k}})^{\text{op}}$. It is equipped with a structure of finite dimensional $(Y_I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I)$ denote the category of $(Y_I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded $E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}$ -modules, and construct $\tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda), \tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I)$, $\lambda \in \Omega_I$, just like $\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda), \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ for G .

Unless otherwise specified we will regard an $L_{I, 1}T$ -module as a P_1T -module via inflation along the quotient $P \rightarrow P/\text{Ru}(P) \simeq L_I$.

Lemma: *There is a functorial isomorphism from the category of Y_I -graded $E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}$ -modules of finite type to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$*

$$Q \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\sharp}(Q, \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I)) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} ? \simeq \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} ?).$$

Proof: Let $\tilde{M}$ be a Y_I -graded $E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}$ -module of finite type. As $Q \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} ?$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\sharp}(Q, ?)$ are quasi-inverse to each other, $Q \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\sharp}(Q, \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I)) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{M} \simeq \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{M}$, which is isomorphic to $\hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{M})$ if $\tilde{M}$ is isomorphic to $E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}$. In general, apply the five lemma to a natural homomorphism of $G_1 T$ -modules $\hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{M} \rightarrow \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{M})$.

(3.3) We will show that the lemma above refines to a commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\sharp}(Q, \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I)) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} ?} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega) \\ \bar{v}_I \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{v} \\ L_{I,1} T\mathbf{Mod} & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ P_1 T\mathbf{Mod} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\nabla}_P} & G_1 T\mathbf{Mod} \end{array}$$

in such a way that for each $\lambda \in \Omega_I$

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\sharp}(Q, \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I)) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \langle \delta(\lambda) - \delta_I(\lambda) \rangle,$$

where δ (resp. δ_I) is the length function on Ω (resp. Ω_I) [AJS, 17.1].

To justify the commutative diagram, we have only to show that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\sharp}(Q, \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I))$ is equipped with a structure of $(Y \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded left $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ and $(Y_I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded right $E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}$ -bimodule. For that we first deform the functor $\hat{\nabla}_P$. Put $S_{I, \mathbb{k}} = S_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{Z}I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k})$ to be the symmetric algebra over $\mathbb{k}$ on $\mathbb{Z}I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$. We will write A_G (resp. A_I) for $\hat{S}_{\mathbb{k}}$ (resp. the completion of $S_{I, \mathbb{k}}$ with respect to the maximal ideal generated by $\mathbb{Z}I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$). For each $\beta \in R^+$ let $A_G^{\beta} = A_G[\frac{1}{h_{\alpha}} \mid \alpha \in R^+ \setminus \{\beta\}]$, $A_G^{\emptyset} = A_G[\frac{1}{h_{\alpha}} \mid \alpha \in R^+]$, and for $\beta \in R_I^+$ put $A_I^{\beta} = A_I[\frac{1}{h_{\alpha}} \mid \alpha \in R_I^+ \setminus \{\beta\}]$, $A_I^{\emptyset} = A_I[\frac{1}{h_{\alpha}} \mid \alpha \in R_I^+]$. We will regard A_G as an A_I -algebra via inclusion $R_I \hookrightarrow R$; in case R has two lengths, if a component I' of I consists only of long roots, we take $h_{\alpha} = d_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in R_{I'}$ with d_{α} for R instead of $h_{\alpha} = H_{\alpha}$. Though this deviates from the convention in [AJS, 14.4/p. 11], it causes no difference to our application. Thus $A_G^{\emptyset}$ is an $A_I^{\emptyset}$ -algebra, and for $\beta \in R^+$

$$A_G^{\beta} \simeq \begin{cases} A_I^{\beta} \otimes_{A_I} A_G^{\beta} & \text{if } \beta \in R_I^+ \\ A_I^{\emptyset} \otimes_{A_I} A_G^{\beta} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For a $W_{I,p}$ -orbit Γ_I in Λ define $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_I)$, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_I^{\beta})$ for $\beta \in R_I^+$, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_G)$, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_G^{\beta})$ for $\beta \in R^+$, $\mathcal{FC}(\Gamma_I, A_I)$, $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma_I, A_I)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Gamma_I, S_{I, \mathbb{k}})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Gamma_I)$ for L_I just as for G ; precisely these are defined first for the semisimple part of L_I and then extended to L_I in a natural way. For

$\nu \in \Lambda$ define likewise $Z_{I,A_I}(\nu)$, $Z_{I,A_I}^\beta(\nu) = Z_{I,A_I^\beta}(\nu)$ for $\beta \in R_I^+$, and $Z_{I,A_I}^\emptyset(\nu) = Z_{I,A_I^\emptyset}(\nu)$ as well as $Z_{I,A_G}(\nu)$, $Z_{I,A_G}^\beta(\nu)$ for $\beta \in R^+$, and $Z_{I,A_G}^\emptyset(\nu)$.

Recall from (1.7) the parabolic subgroup $P^+ = \langle B^+, U_{-\alpha} | \alpha \in I \rangle$. Let Γ be the W_p -orbit in Λ containing Γ_I . Regarding an object of $\mathcal{FC}(\Gamma_I, A_I)$ as a $\text{Dist}(P_1^+)$ -module by the quotient $P^+ \rightarrow P^+/\text{Ru}(P^+)$, define a functor $\hat{\nabla}_{P,A_I} : \mathcal{FC}(\Gamma_I, A_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{FC}(\Gamma, A_G)$ via

$$M \mapsto (\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} M^\tau)^\tau \otimes_{A_I} A_G \simeq \{\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} (M \otimes_{A_I} A_G)^\tau\}^\tau,$$

which reduces to $\hat{\nabla}_P$ by reduction to $\mathbb{k}$. For each $\nu \in \Gamma_I$ one has

$$(3) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{P,A_I}(Z_{I,A_I}(\nu)^\tau) \simeq Z_{A_G}(\nu)^\tau.$$

(3.4) Let $U_1(w_I) = \prod_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I} U_{-\beta,1}$ be the Frobenius kernel of the unipotent radical of P and $\text{Dist}^+(U_1(w_I))$ the augmentation ideal of $\text{Dist}(U_1(w_I))$. Let Γ be an arbitrary W_p -orbit. For each $M \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma, A_G)$ put

$$M_n = M / \text{Dist}^+(U_1(w_I))M \simeq \{\text{Dist}(U_1(w_I)) / \text{Dist}^+(U_1(w_I))\} \otimes_{\text{Dist}(U_1(w_I))} M$$

the module of $\text{Dist}^+(U_1(w_I))$ -coinvariants of M . If $M = Z_{A_G}(\nu)$, $\nu \in \Lambda$, taking the τ -dual of (1.7) yields an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}(W_{I,p} \bullet \nu, A_G)$

$$(1) \quad Z_{A_G}(\nu)_n \simeq Z_{I,A_I}(\nu) \otimes_{A_I} A_G \simeq Z_{I,A_G}(\nu).$$

Let $\beta \in R_I^+$, $\nu \in \Gamma$ with $\beta \uparrow \nu > \nu$, and put $\Gamma_I = W_{I,p} \bullet \nu$. One has from [AJS, 8.6], as $d_\beta \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ by the standing hypothesis on p [AJS, 14.4],

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, A_G^\beta)}^1(Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu), Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu)) &\simeq A_G^\beta h_\beta^{-1} / A_G^\beta \simeq (A_I^\beta h_\beta^{-1} / A_I^\beta) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \\ &\simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_I^\beta)}^1(Z_{I,A_I}^\beta(\nu), Z_{I,A_I}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu)) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \\ &\simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_G^\beta)}^1(Z_{I,A_G}^\beta(\nu), Z_{I,A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu)) \quad \text{by [AJS, 3.2].} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma: Assume $\beta \uparrow \nu > \nu$. If $0 \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu) \rightarrow M \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu) \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, A_G^\beta)$, applying $?_n$ to the sequence yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{I,A_G^\beta}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu) \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow Z_{I,A_G^\beta}^\beta(\nu) \rightarrow 0$ with M projective in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, A_G^\beta)$ iff M_n projective in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_G^\beta)$. Conversely, applying $\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} ?$ to the latter sequence recovers the former. Likewise, if $0 \rightarrow Z_{I,A_I}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu) \rightarrow M' \rightarrow Z_{I,A_I}^\beta(\nu) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_I, A_I^\beta)$ with M' projective, then applying $\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} ? \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta$ yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu) \rightarrow \text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} M' \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu) \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} M' \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta$ projective in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, A_G^\beta)$.

Proof: Assume the sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu) \rightarrow M \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. As $?_n \simeq \{\text{Dist}(U_1(w_I)) / \text{Dist}^+(U_1(w_I))\} \otimes_{\text{Dist}(U_1(w_I))} ?$ and as $Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu) \simeq \text{Dist}(U_1) \simeq \text{Dist}(U_1(w_I)) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Dist}((B \cap L_I)_1)$ is free over $\text{Dist}(U_1(w_I))$, $0 \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu)_n \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu)_n \rightarrow 0$ remains exact with $Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu)_n \simeq Z_{I,A_G^\beta}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu)$ and $Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu)_n \simeq Z_{I,A_G^\beta}^\beta(\nu)$.

Recall from [AJS, 12.4] how each M is constructed. Let $w_\beta \in W_I$ with $w_\beta^{-1}\beta \in I$. Let $v_\nu^{w_\beta} \in Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu)$ of weight $\nu\langle w_\beta \rangle$ corresponding to the standard generator $1 \otimes 1$ of $Z_{A_G}^{w_\beta}(\nu\langle w_\beta \rangle)$ under the isomorphism $Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu) = Z_{A_G}^\beta(\nu) \simeq Z_{A_G}^{w_\beta}(\nu\langle w_\beta \rangle)$, and define $v_{\beta \uparrow \nu}^{w_\beta} \in Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \nu)$ likewise. Write $\langle \nu + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \equiv p - n \pmod p$ with $n \in [0, p[$, and put $z_\nu = E_{-\beta}^{(n)} v_{\beta \uparrow \nu}^{w_\beta} b + v_\nu^{w_\beta} \in Z_K(\beta \uparrow \nu) \oplus Z_K(\nu)$ for each $b \in A_G^\beta h_\beta^{-1}$ with $K = \text{Frac}(A_G)$, so z_ν is of weight $\nu\langle w_\beta \rangle$. Then M is of the form $M_\nu^{w_\beta}(b) = \text{Dist}(G_1) v_{\beta \uparrow \nu}^{w_\beta} A_G^\beta + \text{Dist}(G_1) z_\nu A_G^\beta$ living in $Z_K(\beta \uparrow \nu) \oplus Z_K(\nu)$, and the sequence reads $v_{\beta \uparrow \nu}^{w_\beta}$ mapping to itself while $z_\nu \mapsto v_\nu^{w_\beta}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dist}(G_1) &\simeq \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}U_1) \otimes \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}B_1^+) \\ &\simeq \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}U_1(w_I)) \otimes \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}(B \cap L_I)_1) \otimes \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}B_1^+) \\ &\simeq \text{Dist}(U_1(w_I)) \otimes \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}(B \cap L_I)_1) \otimes \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}B_1^+) \end{aligned}$$

as ${}^{w_\beta}U(w_I) = {}^{w_\beta} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_I} U_{-\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_I} U_{-\alpha} = U(w_I)$. Thus $(\text{Dist}(G_1) v_{\beta \uparrow \nu}^{w_\beta})_n \simeq \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}(B \cap L_I)_1) v_{\beta \uparrow \nu}^{w_\beta}$, $(\text{Dist}(G_1) z_\nu)_n \simeq \text{Dist}({}^{w_\beta}(B \cap L_I)_1) z_\nu$, and hence $M_\nu^{w_\beta}(b)_n = \text{Dist}(L_{I,1}) v_{\beta \uparrow \nu}^{w_\beta} A_G^\beta + \text{Dist}(L_{I,1}) z_\nu A_G^\beta$. It follows from [AJS, 8.7] that $M_\nu^{w_\beta}(b)$ is projective in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)$ iff $A_G^\beta b = A_G^\beta h_\beta^{-1} / A_G^\beta$ iff $M_\nu^{w_\beta}(b)_n$ is projective in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_G^\beta)$. Likewise the last assertion follows from (1).

(3.5) We now transfer from $\mathcal{FC}(\Omega, A_G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{FC}(\Omega_I, A_I)$) to the combinatorial category $\mathcal{K}(\Omega, A_G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}(\Omega_I, A_I)$) via the fully faithful functor $\mathcal{V}_\Omega$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}$). Define a functor $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{K}(\Omega_I, A_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Omega, A_G)$ as follows: for each $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega_I, A_I)$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$ set

$$(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M})(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_I \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and for each $\beta \in R^+$ set

$$(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M})(\lambda, \beta) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \beta) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_I \text{ and } \beta \in R_I^+ \\ \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_I \text{ and } \beta \notin R_I^+ \\ \mathcal{M}(\beta \uparrow \lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta & \text{if } \beta \uparrow \lambda \in \Omega_I \text{ and } \beta \notin R_I^+ \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, A_G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_I, A_I)$) be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I)$) consisting of objects admitting a Z_{A_G} - (resp. Z_{I, A_I} -) filtration. We want to show a functorial isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I} \simeq \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}$ from $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_I, A_I)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, A_G)$.

Recall that $\mathcal{V}_\Omega$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}$ are defined with specific choice of extensions according to Theorem of Good Choices [AJS, 13.4].

Lemma: *Let $\lambda \in \Omega_I$ and $\beta \in R_I^+$ with $\beta \uparrow \lambda > \lambda$. Let $e^\beta(\lambda) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)}^1(Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda))$ and $e_I^\beta(\lambda) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I^\beta)}^1(Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda), Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda))$ chosen according to Theorem of Good Choices. Let $Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)$ (resp. $Y_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I^\beta)$) be the module representing $e^\beta(\lambda)$ (resp. $e_I^\beta(\lambda)$). Then $Y_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta = Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)_n$ and $\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} Y_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta = Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)$.*

Proof: Write $\lambda = w_1 \bullet \lambda^+ + p\gamma_1 = w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+ + p\gamma_2$ with $w_1 \in W$, $w_2 \in W_I$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}R$, $\gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}R_I$. By [AJS, 13.25] we may assume $\lambda_I^+ = w_2^{-1}w_1 \bullet \lambda^+$. Then for each $\alpha \in R_I^+$

$$(1) \quad (w_2^{-1}w_1)^{-1}\alpha = w_1^{-1}w_2\alpha > 0,$$

and hence

$$(2) \quad w_1^{-1}\alpha > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad w_2^{-1}\alpha > 0.$$

Recall from [AJS, 13.2.5] that $e^\beta(\lambda) = b^\beta(\lambda)e_0^\beta(\lambda)$, and thus $e_I^\beta(\lambda) = b_I^\beta(\lambda)e_{I,0}^\beta(\lambda)$ likewise with the RHS's specified as follows. By [AJS, 13.2.3, 4]

$$(3) \quad b^\beta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho}^\beta d(w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho, s_\beta) \kappa(\beta) & \text{if } w_1^{-1}\beta > 0 \\ \varepsilon_{w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho}^\beta d(w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho, s_\beta) h_\beta & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

with $\kappa(\beta) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0, w_\beta^{-1}\alpha < 0}} h_\alpha^{-\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle}$, where $w_\beta \in W_I$ such that $w_\beta^{-1}\beta \in I$, and thus

$$(4) \quad b_I^\beta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{I, w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I}^\beta d_I(w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I, s_\beta) \kappa_I(\beta) & \text{if } w_2^{-1}\beta > 0 \\ \varepsilon_{I, w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I}^\beta d_I(w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I, s_\beta) h_\beta & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

with $\kappa_I(\beta) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R_I^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0, w_\beta^{-1}\alpha < 0}} h_\alpha^{-\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle}$. By (2) the two cases in (3) and (4) agree, and $\kappa(\beta) = \kappa_I(\beta)$. By [AJS, 12.12.5]

$$\varepsilon_{w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho}^\beta = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0}} (-1)^{\langle -\rho - w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, \alpha^\vee \rangle \bar{\alpha}(-\rho - w_1 \bullet \lambda^+)} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus \{\beta\} \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0, w_\beta^{-1}\alpha > 0}} (-1)^{\langle -\rho - w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, \alpha^\vee \rangle}$$

with $\bar{\alpha}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ for each $\nu \in \Lambda$ [AJS, A.1.1], and thus

$$\varepsilon_{I, w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I}^\beta = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R_I^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0}} (-1)^{\langle -\rho_I - w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, \alpha^\vee \rangle \bar{\alpha}(-\rho_I - w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+)} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R_I^+ \setminus \{\beta\} \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0, w_\beta^{-1}\alpha > 0}} (-1)^{\langle -\rho_I - w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, \alpha^\vee \rangle}.$$

One has $-\rho - w_1 \bullet \lambda^+ = -\rho - w_1 \bullet (w_2^{-1}w_1)^{-1} \bullet \lambda_I^+ = -\rho_P - \rho_I - w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+$. If $\alpha \in R^+$ with $s_\beta \alpha < 0$, $\alpha \in R_I^+$, and hence $\langle -\rho - w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle -\rho_P - \rho_I - w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle -\rho_I - w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ and $\bar{\alpha}(-\rho - w_1 \bullet \lambda^+) = \bar{\alpha}(-\rho_I - w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+)$. Thus $\varepsilon_{w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho}^\beta = \varepsilon_{I, w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I}^\beta$. By [AJS, 13.2.2]

$$d(w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho, s_\beta) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0, w_1^{-1}\alpha < 0}} \frac{[k_\alpha; w_1 \bullet \lambda^+ + \rho]}{h_\alpha},$$

$$d_I(w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I, s_\beta) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R_I^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0, w_2^{-1}\alpha < 0}} \frac{[k_\alpha; w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+ + \rho_I]_I}{h_\alpha}.$$

By (2) again the products run over the same subset of R_I^+ . By [AJS, 13.1.4]

$$[k_\alpha; w_1 \bullet \lambda^+ + \rho] = (H_\alpha + \langle w_1 \bullet \lambda^+ + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle) H_\alpha^{-1} h_\alpha$$

with $\langle w_1 \bullet \lambda^+ + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle w_1 \bullet (w_2^{-1} w_1)^{-1} \bullet \lambda_I^+ + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+ + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+ + \rho_I, \alpha^\vee \rangle$, and hence $d(w_1 \bullet \lambda^+, -\rho, s_\beta) = d_I(w_2 \bullet \lambda_I^+, -\rho_I, s_\beta)$ and $b^\beta(\lambda) = b_I^\beta(\lambda)$.

We compare next $e_0^\beta(\lambda)$ and $e_{I,0}^\beta(\lambda)$. Take $\omega \in \Lambda$ in the upper closure of the facet λ belongs to with respect to $\langle s_{\beta,r} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$. By [AJS, 12.13.1]

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} t_0^\beta[\omega, \lambda] e_0^\beta(\lambda) &= \varepsilon_{\lambda\omega}^\beta d(\omega, \lambda, s_\beta) h_\beta^{-1} + A_G^\beta, \\ t_{I,0}^\beta[\omega, \lambda] e_{I,0}^\beta(\lambda) &= \varepsilon_{I,\lambda,\omega}^\beta d_I(\omega, \lambda, s_\beta) h_\beta^{-1} + A_I^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

By definition [AJS, 12.12.5] again

$$(6) \quad \varepsilon_{\lambda\omega}^\beta = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0}} (-1)^{\langle \omega - \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \bar{\alpha}(\omega - \lambda)} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0, w_\beta^{-1} \alpha > 0}} (-1)^{\langle \omega - \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle} = \varepsilon_{I,\lambda,\omega}^\beta.$$

By definition [AJS, A.7.1 and A.2.1]

$$d(\omega, \lambda, s_\beta) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0}} d(\omega, \lambda, \alpha) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ s_\beta \alpha < 0}} \left(\frac{H_\alpha + \langle \omega + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{H_\alpha + \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \right)^{\bar{\alpha}(\omega - \rho)} = d_I(\omega, \lambda, s_\beta).$$

It follows in (5) that $\varepsilon_{\lambda\omega}^\beta d(\omega, \lambda, s_\beta) h_\beta^{-1} = \varepsilon_{I,\lambda,\omega}^\beta d_I(\omega, \lambda, s_\beta) h_\beta^{-1}$. By [AJS, 12.12.1]

$$t_0^\beta[\omega, \lambda] = t[\omega, \lambda, a_{\lambda\omega}], \quad t_{I,0}^\beta[\omega, \lambda] = t_I[\omega, \lambda, a_{I,\lambda,\omega}]$$

with

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{\lambda\omega} &= a'_{\lambda\omega} \varepsilon_{\lambda\omega}^\beta \\ &= \varepsilon_{\lambda\omega}^\beta \quad \text{by [AJS, A.12]} \\ &= \varepsilon_{I,\lambda,\omega}^\beta \quad \text{by (6)} \\ &= a'_{I,\lambda,\omega} \varepsilon_{I,\lambda,\omega}^\beta = a_{I,\lambda,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $t[\omega, \lambda, a_{\lambda\omega}] = t[\omega, \lambda, e, \bar{e}]$ by [AJS, 12.8.2] with $e \in E_{\omega-\lambda} \setminus 0$, E a simple G -module of extremal weight $\omega - \lambda$ [AJS, 11.1], and with $\bar{e} = a_{\lambda\omega}(-1)^n E_{-\beta}^{(n)} e \in E_{s_\beta(\omega-\lambda)} \setminus 0$, $\langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \equiv p - n \pmod{p}$, $n \in]0, p[$, by [AJS, 12.3.1]. Recall from [AJS, 12.6] the definition of $t[\omega, \lambda, e, \bar{e}] : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)}^1(Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda)) \rightarrow H_\beta^{-1} A_G^\beta / A_G^\beta = h_\beta^{-1} A_G^\beta / A_G^\beta$. Let $\xi \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)}^1(Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda))$ represented by a short exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda) \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{j} Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

As $H_\beta \xi = 0$, there is $j' \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)(Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), M)$ with $j \circ j' = H_\beta \text{id}_{Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)}$. Apply the translation functor T_λ^ω to (8) to obtain a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda) \xrightarrow{T_\lambda^\omega i} T_\lambda^\omega M \xrightarrow{T_\lambda^\omega j} T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $i' \in \mathcal{C}(A_G^\beta)(T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), T_\lambda^\omega M)$ with $i' \circ T_\lambda^\omega j = \text{id}_{T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)}$. Recall from [AJS, 11.2.1] isomorphisms $f_e : Z_{A_G}^\beta(\omega) \rightarrow T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda) = \text{pr}(E \otimes Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda))$ via $1 \otimes 1 \mapsto \text{pr}(e \otimes 1 \otimes 1)$ and $f_{\bar{e}} : Z_{A_G}^\beta(\omega) \rightarrow T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda) = \text{pr}(E \otimes Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda))$ via $1 \otimes 1 \mapsto \text{pr}(\bar{e} \otimes 1 \otimes 1)$. If $a \in A_G^\beta$ with $f_{\bar{e}}^{-1} \circ i' \circ T_\lambda^\omega j' \circ f_e = \text{aid}_{Z_{A_G}^\beta(\omega)}$, then $t[\omega, \lambda, e, \bar{e}]\xi = aH_\beta^{-1} + A_G^\beta$. Now recall the L_I -submodule E' of E from (2.2) and choose $e_I = e \in E'$ and $\bar{e}_I = \bar{e} \in E'$ to define $t_I[\omega, \lambda, e_I, \bar{e}_I] : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I^\beta)}^1(Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda), Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda)) \rightarrow H_\beta^{-1}A_I^\beta/A_I^\beta = h_\beta^{-1}A_I^\beta/A_I^\beta$ likewise. As we have natural isomorphisms from (2.2) or rather from its τ -dual

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta &\simeq Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), \\ \text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta &\simeq Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda), \\ \text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} T_{I, \lambda}^\omega Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta &\simeq T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), \\ \text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} T_{I, \lambda}^\omega Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta &\simeq T_\lambda^\omega Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I^\beta)}^1(Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda), Z_{I, A_I}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda)) & \xrightarrow{t_{I, 0}^\beta[\omega, \lambda]} & H_\beta^{-1}A_I^\beta/A_I^\beta \\ \text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} ? \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)}^1(Z_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), Z_{A_G}^\beta(\beta \uparrow \lambda)) & \xrightarrow{t_0^\beta[\omega, \lambda]} & H_\beta^{-1}A_G^\beta/A_G^\beta \end{array}$$

follows. More precisely, if $Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)$ (resp. $Y_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda)$) is the module representing $e^\beta(\lambda)$ (resp. $e_I^\beta(\lambda)$), then $\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} Y_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta = Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)$ with $Y_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta = Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)_n$ by (3.4).

(3.6) We are now ready to show

Theorem: *There is a functorial isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_I, A_I)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, A_G)$*

$$\mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I} \simeq \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}.$$

Proof: For each $X \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, A_G)$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_I, A_I)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G)(X, \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_G}(M)) &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G)(X, (\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} M^\tau)^\tau \otimes_{A_I} A_G) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G)(X, \{\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} (M \otimes_{A_I} A_G)^\tau\}^\tau) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G)(\text{Dist}(G_1) \otimes_{\text{Dist}(P_1^+)} (M \otimes_{A_I} A_G)^\tau, X^\tau) \quad \text{by [AJS, 4.5.5]} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}_{L_I}(A_G)((M \otimes_{A_I} A_G)^\tau, \text{Ann}_{X^\tau}(\text{Dist}^+(U_1^+(w_I)))) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ann}_{X^\tau}(\text{Dist}^+(U_1^+(w_I))) &= \{f \in \mathbf{Mod}_{A_G}(X, A_G) \mid 0 = xf = f(\tau(x)) \ \forall x \in \text{Dist}^+(U_1^+(w_I))\} \\ &\simeq \{X/\tau(\text{Dist}^+(U_1^+(w_I)))X\}^\tau \\ &= \{X/(\text{Dist}^+(U_1(w_I))X)\}^\tau = (X_n)^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G)(X, \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I}(M)) &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_G)((M \otimes_{A_I} A_G)^\tau, (X_n)^\tau) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_G)(X_n, M \otimes_{A_I} A_G). \end{aligned}$$

It follows for each $\lambda \in \Omega$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I})(M)(\lambda) &= \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\emptyset)(Z^\emptyset(\lambda), \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I}(M)^\emptyset) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_G^\emptyset)(Z_{I, A_G^\emptyset}(\lambda), M^\emptyset \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\emptyset) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I^\emptyset)(Z_{I, A_I^\emptyset}^\emptyset(\lambda), M^\emptyset) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\emptyset \quad \text{by [AJS, 3.2]} \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}(M)(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_I \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &= (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I})(M)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\lambda \in \Omega_I$. If $\beta \in R_I^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta) &= \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)(Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I}(M)^\beta) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I^\beta)(Y_{I, A_I}^\beta(\lambda), M^\beta) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \quad \text{likewise by (3.5)} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}(M)(\lambda, \beta) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta = (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta) &= \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G^\beta)(Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda), \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I}(M)^\beta) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_G^\beta)((M^\beta \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta)^\tau, (Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)_n)^\tau) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_G^\beta)((M^\emptyset \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta)^\tau, (Z_{I, A_I}^\emptyset(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta)^\tau) \quad \text{as } A_G^\beta \simeq A_I^\emptyset \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \\ &\quad \text{in this case, and hence } Y_{A_G}^\beta(\lambda)_n \simeq (Z_{I, A_I}^\emptyset(\lambda) \oplus Z_{I, A_I}^\emptyset(\beta \uparrow \lambda)) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I^\beta)(Z_{I, A_I}^\emptyset(\lambda), M^\emptyset) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta \\ &= \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}(M)(\lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta = (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_I$ and if $\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+$ with $\beta \uparrow \lambda \in \Omega_I$, we have likewise

$$(\mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}(M)(\beta \uparrow \lambda) \otimes_{A_I} A_G^\beta = (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta).$$

If $\lambda \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_I$ and if $\beta \uparrow \lambda \notin \Omega_I$,

$$(\mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta) = 0 = (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I})(M)(\lambda, \beta).$$

(3.7) Define finally a functor $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega_I, S_{I, \mathbb{k}}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})$ just like $\mathcal{I}$ as follows: for each $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega_I, A_I)$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$ set

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{M}))(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \otimes_{S_{I, \mathbb{k}}} S_{\mathbb{k}}^\emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_I \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and for each $\beta \in R^+$ set

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{M}))(\lambda, \beta) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \beta) \otimes_{S_{I,\mathbb{k}}} S_{\mathbb{k}}^\beta & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_I \text{ and } \beta \in R_I^+ \\ \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \otimes_{S_{I,\mathbb{k}}} S_{\mathbb{k}}^\beta & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_I \text{ and } \beta \notin R_I^+ \\ \mathcal{M}(\beta \uparrow \lambda) \otimes_{S_{I,\mathbb{k}}} S_{\mathbb{k}}^\beta & \text{if } \beta \uparrow \lambda \in \Omega_I \text{ and } \beta \notin R_I^+ \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

From [AJS, 14.10] one has, in particular, for each $\lambda \in \Omega_I$

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{Z}_{I,\lambda}^{w_I}) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_\lambda^{w_0}.$$

Let $Q_{I,A_I} = \bigoplus_{w \in W_I} Q_{I,A_I}(w \bullet \lambda_I^+) \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega_I, A_I)$ with $Q_{I,A_I}(w \bullet \lambda^+)$ the lift of the projective cover of $\hat{L}^P(w \bullet \lambda^+)$ for L_I over A_I . Let $\mathcal{P}_I$ be a graded $S_{I,\mathbb{k}}$ -form of $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}(Q_{I,A_I})$.

Lemma: *One has an isomorphism in $\mathcal{K}(\Omega, A_G)$*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}_I) \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} A_G \simeq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}(Q_{I,A_I})) \simeq \mathcal{V}_\Omega \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P,A_I}(Q_{I,A_I}).$$

Proof: The first isomorphism follows from the definition that $\mathcal{P}_I \otimes_{S_{I,\mathbb{k}}} A_I \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_I}(Q_{I,A_I})$, and the second from (3.6).

(3.8) Let $E_{\Omega_I} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega_I, S_{I,\mathbb{k}})^\sharp(\mathcal{P}_I, \mathcal{P}_I)^{\text{op}}$, which is a $(Y_I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded $S_{I,\mathbb{k}}$ -algebra of finite type, responsible for the structure of $(Y_I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra on $E_{\Omega_I,\mathbb{k}} \simeq E_{\Omega_I} \otimes_{S_{I,\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k}$. Now set $J = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^\sharp(\mathcal{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}_I))$, which comes equipped with a structure of $(Y \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded left E_Ω and $(Y_I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded right $E_{\Omega,I}$ -bimodule. If $J_{\mathbb{k}} = J \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k}$, it is thus a $(Y \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded left $E_{\Omega,\mathbb{k}}$ and $(Y_I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded right $E_{\Omega_I,\mathbb{k}}$ -bimodule.

Corollary: *The parabolic induction functor $\hat{\nabla}_P$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by the bimodule $J_{\mathbb{k}}$ in such a way*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I) & \xrightarrow{J_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I,\mathbb{k}}} ?} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega) \\ \bar{v}_I \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \bar{v} \\ L_{I,1}T\text{Mod} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_1T\text{Mod} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\nabla}_P} & G_1T\text{Mod} \end{array}$$

that for each $\lambda \in \Omega_I$ there is an isomorphism in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$

$$J_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I,\mathbb{k}}} \tilde{\nabla}_{I,\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \langle \delta(\lambda) - \delta_I(\lambda) \rangle.$$

Proof: The commutativity of the diagram follows from (3.2) by the isomorphism of left

$E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ and right $E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}$ -bimodules

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathbb{k}} &\simeq J \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} A_G \otimes_{A_G} \mathbb{k} \\
&\simeq \mathcal{K}(\Omega, A_G)^{\#}(\mathcal{V}_{\Omega}(Q_{A_G}), \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\Omega}(\mathcal{P}_I) \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} A_G) \otimes_{A_G} \mathbb{k} \quad \text{by [AJS, 18.9.3]} \\
&\simeq \mathcal{K}(\Omega, A_G)^{\#}(\mathcal{V}_{\Omega}(Q_{A_G}), \mathcal{V}_{\Omega} \circ \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I}(Q_{I, A_I})) \otimes_{A_G} \mathbb{k} \quad \text{by (3.7)} \\
&\simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega, A_G)^{\#}(Q_{A_G}, \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I}(Q_{I, A_I})) \otimes_{A_G} \mathbb{k} \quad \text{by [AJS, 18.9.5/6]} \\
&\simeq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\#}(Q_{A_G} \otimes_{A_G} \mathbb{k}, \hat{\nabla}_{P, A_I}(Q_{I, A_I}) \otimes_{A_G} \mathbb{k}) \quad \text{by [AJS, 3.3]} \\
&\simeq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\#}(Q, \hat{\nabla}_P(Q_I)) \quad \text{from (3.3.3).}
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda) &\simeq (J \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I}} \tilde{\nabla}_{I, S_{I, \mathbb{k}}}(\lambda)) \otimes_{S_{I, \mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^{\#}(\mathcal{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\Omega}(\mathcal{P}_I)) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I}} \tilde{\nabla}_{I, S_{I, \mathbb{k}}}(\lambda)\} \otimes_{S_{I, \mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} \\
&= \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^{\#}(\mathcal{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}_I)) \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I}} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega_I, S_{I, \mathbb{k}})^{\#}(\mathcal{P}_I, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{I, \lambda}^{w_I} \langle -\delta_I(\lambda) \rangle)\} \otimes_{S_{I, \mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} \\
&= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^{\#}(\mathcal{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{I, \lambda}^{w_I} \langle -\delta_I(\lambda) \rangle)) \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} \quad \text{by the five lemma} \\
&= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^{\#}(\mathcal{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\lambda}^{w_0} \langle -\delta_I(\lambda) \rangle) \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} \quad \text{by (3.7.1)} \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{S_{\mathbb{k}}}(\lambda) \langle \delta(\lambda) - \delta_I(\lambda) \rangle \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \langle \delta(\lambda) - \delta_I(\lambda) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

4° Rigidity

Keep the notations of §3. We will show that all $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ for p -regular $\lambda \in \Lambda$ are $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. Assuming Lusztig's conjecture on the irreducible character formulae for G_1T -modules [L80]/[Kat], [AJS] has shown that the endomorphism algebra of a projective Y -generator for the block of λ is Koszul. We show that the rigidity of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ follows from a result of [BGS]. The Lusztig conjecture is now a theorem for large p thanks to [AJS], [KL], [KT], [L94] and more recently [F]. We will also find the Loewy length of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ for a p -regular $\lambda \in \Lambda$ to be uniformly $\ell(w^I) + 1$.

Thus fix a p -regular weight λ and put $\Omega = W_p \bullet \lambda$. For $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ we let $[M : \hat{L}(\mu)]$, $\mu \in \Omega$, denote the multiplicity of simple $\hat{L}(\mu)$ among the $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ -composition factors of M .

(4.1) Let us first recall the construction of $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, which is a slight simplification over the one in [AJS, 18.12]. As $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ has a simple head in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$ by the categorical equivalence v , the radical $\text{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ of $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ in the category $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$ is maximal. But $\text{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ by [AJS, E.11], and hence coincides with the radical $\text{rad}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ of $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ in the category of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$. We set $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) = \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) / \text{rad}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$. Then $v \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) = Q \otimes_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq \{Q \otimes_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\} / \{Q \otimes_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}} \text{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\} \simeq Z_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) / \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)} Z_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq \hat{L}(\lambda)$. In turn, $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \hat{L}(\lambda)$ in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$ while $H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \hat{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)^{\#}(Q, \hat{L}(\lambda)) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(Q_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda), \hat{L}(\lambda))$ as $Q_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ is the projective cover of $\hat{L}(\lambda)$, and hence $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ is of dimension 1.

By the equivalence v the $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, exhaust the simple objects of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$. If

$\tilde{L}$ is a simple object of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in \Omega \\ &= \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda))_i = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\langle -i \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\langle i \rangle) \neq 0$ for some i . Then $\tilde{L} \simeq \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\langle i \rangle$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ by their simplicity. Such λ and i are unique by [AJS, 18.8]. Thus we have obtained the first 2 parts of

Proposition: (i) *Each $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, is 1-dimensional.*

(ii) *Each simple object of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ is isomorphic to some $\tilde{L}(\lambda)\langle i \rangle$ for unique $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Any simple object of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$ is isomorphic to some $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ for unique $\lambda \in \Omega$.*

(iii) *If $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$, the radical (resp. socle) series of M in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$ and in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ coincide.*

Proof: (iii) We show first that each radical layer $\text{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y}^i M / \text{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y}^{i+1} M$ remains semisimple in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$. As it inherits the structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ from M by [AJS, E.11], we may assume M is semisimple in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$. If L is a simple component of M in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$, as L is 1-dimensional by (i), each $(E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}})_{Y \times \{i\}}$, $i \neq 0$ annihilates L while each element of $(E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}})_{Y \times \{0\}}$ is acting by a scalar, and hence M is semisimple also in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$; each $\mathbb{Z}$ -homogeneous component M_i of M must be $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ -stable. On the other hand, each $\text{rad}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)}^i M / \text{rad}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)}^{i+1} M$ is semisimple in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$ as each simple component is 1-dimensional by (i) again. It now follows that the radical series of M in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ coincide.

The socle version of [AJS, E.11] holds, and hence also the assertion about the socle series of M .

(4.2) Assume now Lusztig's conjecture on the irreducible characters of $G_1 T$ -modules. Then $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ is Koszul with respect to its $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation thanks to [AJS, 18.17]. In particular, $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ is positively graded: $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}})_i$ with $(E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}})_0 = \prod_{w \in W} \mathbb{k} \pi_w$, and is generated by $(E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}})_1$ over $\mathbb{k}$ by [BGS, Props. 2.1.3 and 2.3.1], where $\pi_w : \prod_{x \in W} Q_{\mathbb{k}}(x \bullet \lambda^+) \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+)$ is the projection. Let $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ -modules.

Proposition: *Assume the Lusztig conjecture.*

(i) *Each $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, is homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading. In particular, each $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+)$, $w \in W$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k} \pi_w$ in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

(ii) *Each simple object of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is isomorphic to some $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+)\langle i \rangle$ for unique $w \in W$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(iii) *If $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$, the radical (resp. socle) series of M in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ coincide.*

Proof: (i) Recall from (4.1) that the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}$ arises from that of E_{Ω} . Thus $\mathbb{k}\pi_w = \mathbb{k}(\tilde{\pi}_w \otimes 1)$ if $\tilde{\pi}_w : \prod_{x \in W} \mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+)$ is the projection. But

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^{\sharp} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in W} \mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+), \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+) \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{x \in W} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+)[\gamma], \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+))_i \quad \text{by definition [AJS, E.1, E.3]} \\
&= \bigoplus_{x \in W} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y} \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+)[\gamma], \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+)) \quad \text{by [AJS, E.1]} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{x \in W} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y} \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma), \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+)) \quad \text{by [AJS, 17.6/18.5]}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma), \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+)) = \bigoplus_{i > 0} \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma), \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+))_i$ unless $x \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma = w \bullet \lambda^+$ while $\mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+), \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+))_0 = S_{\mathbb{k}} \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+)}$ [AJS, 17.9]. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) &= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})^{\sharp} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in W} \mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+), \mathcal{Z}_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle -\delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle \right) \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} \\
&\quad \text{by definition [AJS, 18.10.1 and 18.12]} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{x \in W} \bigoplus_{\nu \in Y} \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \nu), \mathcal{Z}_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle -\delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle) \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{k}}} \mathbb{k} \quad \text{as above.}
\end{aligned}$$

Each $\mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \nu), \mathcal{Z}_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle -\delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle)$ is a direct summand of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \nu), \mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma))$ by [AJS, 15.10 and 17.6.1/18.9.c], and hence $\mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \nu), \mathcal{Z}_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle -\delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle) = \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(x \bullet \lambda^+ + \nu), \mathcal{Z}_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle -\delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle)_{>0}$ unless $x \bullet \lambda^+ + \nu = w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma$, i.e., $x = w$ and $\nu = \gamma$, by [AJS, 17.9] again while

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Q}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma), \mathcal{Z}_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle -\delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle)_0 \\
&\simeq \mathcal{K}(\Omega, S_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{Z}'_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle 2|R^+| - \delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle, \mathcal{Z}_{w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma} \langle -\delta(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) \rangle)_0 \\
&\quad \text{by [AJS, 15.10 and 17.6.2]} \\
&\simeq (S_{\mathbb{k}})_0 \quad \text{by [AJS, 15.10.2]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the epi $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma) / \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma)_{>0} \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma)$ is an isomorphism of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by dimension, and hence $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+ + \gamma)$ is of degree 0. In particular, $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(w \bullet \lambda^+) \simeq \mathbb{k}(\tilde{\pi}_w \otimes 1)$.

(ii) Let L be a simple object of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. As $(E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}})_{>0} L = 0$, L is a $(E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}})_0$ -module. Then L is by its simplicity isomorphic to some $\mathbb{k}\pi_w \langle i \rangle$, $w \in W$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) now follows from (ii) just like (4.1.iii), applying [AJS, E.11] to the pair $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ in place of $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}, Y)$.

(4.3) We are now to obtain from [BGS, Prop. 2.4.1] the rigidity of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$, as well as $\hat{\nabla}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{Q}(\lambda) = Q_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Omega$ demonstrated first in [AK] by a different method using Vogan's version of the Lusztig conjecture.

Lemma: *Assume the Lusztig conjecture on the irreducible characters of G_1T -modules.*

Let $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$. If M has a simple socle and a simple head as an object of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$, then M is rigid in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$.

Proof: By the hypothesis M has a simple socle and a simple head in $(E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}) \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by (4.1) and (4.2). If $\mathrm{hd}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}} M$ (resp. $\mathrm{soc}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}} M$) is concentrated in degree j (resp. k), from [BGS, Prop.2.4.1]

$$\mathrm{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i M = M_{\geq i+j} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{soc}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i M = M_{\geq k-i+1} \quad \forall i.$$

Thus $M_{\geq k-i+1} = \mathrm{soc}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i M \geq \mathrm{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\ell(M)-i} M = M_{\geq \ell(M)-i+j}$, and hence $k-i+1 \leq \ell(M) - i + j$. As the equality holds for $i = 0$, $k+1 = \ell(M) + j$. Then $\forall i$, $k-i+1 \leq \ell(M) - i + j = \ell(M) - i + k + 1 - \ell(M) = k - i + 1$, and hence

$$\mathrm{soc}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y}^i M = \mathrm{soc}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i M = M_{\geq \ell(M)+j-i} = \mathrm{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\ell(M)-i} M = \mathrm{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y}^{\ell(M)-i} M.$$

(4.4) Recalling from (1.4) that each $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ has a simple socle and a simple head yields

Theorem: Assume the Lusztig conjecture. Each $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ for p -regular λ is rigid.

(4.5) To determine eventually the Loewy series of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$, we have to compute its Loewy length. As $\ell(\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) = \ell(w^I \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)))$, we will compute $\ell(w^I \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)))$.

Lemma: $\mathrm{hd}_{G_1 T}(w^I \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) = \hat{L}(w^I \bullet \lambda) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0)$.

Proof: We may assume $\lambda^1 = 0$. By (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hd}_{G_1 T}(w^I \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) &= w^I \mathrm{hd}_{G_1 T}(\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) \\ &= w^I \{ \hat{L}(w^I \bullet \lambda) \otimes p(-2\rho_P + w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 - ((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1) \} \\ &= w^I \{ L((w^I \bullet \lambda)^0) \otimes p((w^I \bullet \lambda)^0 - 2\rho_P + w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 - ((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1) \} \\ &= L((w^I \bullet \lambda)^0) \otimes p w^I \{ (w^I \bullet \lambda)^0 - 2\rho_P + w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 - ((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 \} \end{aligned}$$

while $\hat{L}(w^I \bullet \lambda) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) = L((w^I \bullet \lambda)^0) \otimes p \{ (w^I \bullet \lambda)^1 - (w^I \bullet 0) \}$. Thus we are to show

$$(1) \quad (w^I \bullet \lambda)^1 - (w^I \bullet 0) = w^I \{ (w^I \bullet \lambda)^1 - 2\rho_P + w_0((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 - ((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 \}.$$

Write $w_I \bullet \lambda = \mu^0 + p\mu^1$ with $\mu^0 \in \Lambda_p$ and $\mu^1 \in \Lambda$. Thus μ^0 is p -regular. As $w^I \bullet \lambda = w_0 \bullet (\mu^0 + p\mu^1) = w_0 \bullet \mu^0 + p w_0 \mu^1$, $(w^I \bullet \lambda)^1 = w_0 \mu^1 - \rho$. Likewise, as $(-w_I) \bullet \lambda = (-1) \bullet (\mu^0 + p\mu^1) = (-1) \bullet \mu^0 - p\mu^1$, $((-w_I) \bullet \lambda)^1 = -\mu^1 - \rho$. It follows that the LHS of (1) is equal by (1.1) to

$$w_0 \mu^1 - \rho - w_0 w_I \bullet 0 = w_0 \mu^1 - \rho - (w_0 w_I \rho - \rho) = w_0 \mu^1 - \rho - w_0 2\rho_P = w_0(\mu^1 + \rho - 2\rho_P)$$

while the RHS of (1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} w^I \{ w_0 \mu^1 - \rho - 2\rho_P + w_0(-\mu^1 - \rho) - (-\mu^1 - \rho) \} &= w^I(-2\rho_P + \mu^1 + \rho) \\ &= w_0(w_I \mu^1 + w_I \rho - 2\rho_P) \quad \text{as } w_I 2\rho_P = 2\rho_P. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are left to verify that $\mu^1 + \rho = w_I(\mu^1 + \rho)$, for which we have only to check $\langle \mu^1 + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \ \forall \alpha \in I$. But

$$\begin{aligned}]0, p[+ p\langle \mu^1 + \rho, \alpha \rangle &\ni \langle \mu^0 + \rho, \alpha \rangle + p\langle \mu^1 + \rho, \alpha \rangle \quad \text{as } \mu^0 \text{ is } p\text{-regular} \\ &= \langle \mu^0 + p\mu^1 + p\rho + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle w_I \bullet \lambda + p\rho + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda + \rho, w_I \alpha^\vee \rangle + p \\ &\in -]0, p[+ p \quad \text{as } w_I \alpha \in -I \\ &=]0, p[, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\langle \mu^1 + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$, as desired.

(4.6) Recall from (1.6.3) that ${}^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) \leq \hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w^I \rangle) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0)$. Recall also from [AK] an intertwining homomorphism $\phi_w \in G_1 T \mathbf{Mod}(\hat{\nabla}_w((w \bullet \lambda) \langle w \rangle), \hat{\nabla}(w \bullet \lambda) \setminus 0)$ for each $w \in W$, which is unique up to $\mathbb{k}^\times$. As $1 = [\hat{\nabla}(w^I \bullet \lambda) : \hat{L}(w^I \bullet \lambda)] = [\hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w^I \rangle) : \hat{L}(w^I \bullet \lambda)]$ by [AK, 1.2.3], one obtains from (4.5) a commutative diagram of $G_1 T$ -modules

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w^I \rangle) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{w^I} \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0)} & \hat{\nabla}(w^I \bullet \lambda) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ {}^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & \hat{L}(w^I \bullet \lambda) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0). \end{array}$$

As $\phi_{w^I}(\text{soc}^{\ell(w^I)} \hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w^I \rangle)) = 0$ [AK], we must have

$$(2) \quad \ell \ell({}^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) \geq \ell(w^I) + 1.$$

On the other hand, there is another intertwining homomorphism $\phi'_{w^I} \in G_1 T \mathbf{Mod}(\hat{\nabla}_{w_0}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w_0 \rangle), \hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w^I \rangle) \setminus 0)$. As

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hd}_{G_1 T} \hat{\nabla}_{w_0}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w_0 \rangle) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) \\ &= \text{hd}_{G_1 T} \hat{\Delta}(w^I \bullet \lambda) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) \quad \text{by [AK, 1.2]} \\ &= \hat{L}(w^I \bullet \lambda) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) = \text{hd}_{G_1 T}({}^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))), \end{aligned}$$

one obtains as in (1) another commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\nabla}_{w_0}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w_0 \rangle) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) & & \\ \downarrow \phi'_{w^I} \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) & \searrow & {}^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) \\ \hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w^I \rangle) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) & \swarrow & \end{array}$$

with $\phi'_{w^I}(\text{soc}^{\ell(w_0) - \ell(w^I)} \hat{\nabla}_{w_0}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w_0 \rangle)) = 0$. Assuming the Lusztig conjecture we have $\ell \ell(\hat{\nabla}_{w_0}((w^I \bullet \lambda) \langle w_0 \rangle)) = \ell(w_0) + 1$. It follows that

$$\ell \ell({}^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) \leq \ell(w_0) + 1 - \{\ell(w_0) - \ell(w^I)\} = \ell(w^I) + 1.$$

Thus, together with (2), we have obtained

Theorem: Assume the Lusztig conjecture. For any p -regular $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\ell\ell(\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) = \ell(w^I) + 1.$$

(4.7) **Remark:** This is a generalization of [KY, 1.4] and [K09], where we found for G of rank at most 2 or in case $G = GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{k})$ with P a maximal parabolic such that $G/P \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\ell\ell(\hat{\nabla}_P(\lambda)) = \ell(w^I) + 1$ for p -regular $\lambda \in \Lambda_P$. In fact, for $G/P \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ we computed $\ell\ell(\hat{\nabla}_P(\lambda))$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_P$ in [K09, 2.3] dispensing with the Lusztig conjecture.

(4.8) Recall that $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)/\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)_{>0} \simeq \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \simeq \text{hd}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Omega$. It follows that the $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$ is such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)_{\geq j} &= \text{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^j \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) = \text{rad}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y}^j \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \\ &= \text{soc}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{|R^+|+1-j} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) = \text{soc}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y}^{|R^+|+1-j} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\text{soc}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)}^{|R^+|+1-j} Z_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) = \bar{v}(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)_{\geq j}) = \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)}^j Z_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda).$$

More generally,

Proposition: Assume the Lusztig conjecture. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on each $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, $w \in W$, is such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)}^i Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda\langle w \rangle) &= \text{rad}_{G_1 T}^i \hat{\nabla}_{w w_0}(\lambda\langle w w_0 \rangle) = \bar{v}(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda)_{\geq -\ell(w)+i}) \\ &= \text{soc}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)}^{|R^+|+1-i} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda\langle w \rangle) = \text{soc}_{G_1 T}^{|R^+|+1-i} \hat{\nabla}_{w w_0}(\lambda\langle w w_0 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\forall \mu \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega), i} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda\langle w \rangle) : \hat{L}(\mu)] &= [\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda) : \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mu)\langle -\ell(w) + i \rangle] \\ &= [\text{soc}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega), |R^+|+1-i} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda\langle w \rangle) : \hat{L}(\mu)], \end{aligned}$$

where the middle term is the multiplicity of simple $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mu)\langle -\ell(w) + i \rangle$ in $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda)$ considered as objects of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof: One has from [AJS, 15.3.2]

$$\mathbb{k} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda\langle w \rangle), Z_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda), \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\langle -2\ell(w) \rangle).$$

Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ minimal such that $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda)_j \neq 0$, so $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda)_{\geq j}/\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda)_{>j} = \text{hd}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda) = \text{hd}_{E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda) = H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}(\text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega), 0} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda\langle w \rangle))$, which is sent to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)_{\geq j+2\ell(w)}/\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)_{>j+2\ell(w)} &= (\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\langle -2\ell(w) \rangle)_{\geq j}/(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)\langle -2\ell(w) \rangle)_{>j} \\ &= H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}}(\text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega), \ell(w)} Z_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) \\ &= \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)_{\geq \ell(w)}/\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)_{>\ell(w)} \quad \text{by above.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $j = -\ell(w)$. As $\ell\ell(Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda\langle w \rangle)) = |R^+| + 1$, the assertion follows.

(4.9) Untwisting w^I of (4.6.3) reads

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda \langle w_I w_0 \rangle) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) & \\ & \swarrow & \\ Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda \langle w_0 \rangle) & & \end{array}$$

Thus one obtains a commutative diagram in $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y$

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda \langle w_I w_0 \rangle) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) & \\ & \swarrow & \\ H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda \langle w_0 \rangle) & & \end{array}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda \langle w_I w_0 \rangle), Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda \langle w_0 \rangle))$ is 1-dimensional. On the other hand, each $Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda \langle w \rangle)$, $w \in W$, admits a graded object $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{v} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda) \simeq Z_{\mathbb{k}}^w(\lambda \langle w \rangle)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y(H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda \langle w_I w_0 \rangle), H_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda \langle w_0 \rangle)) \\ &= E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda), \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_Y(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda), \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda))_i = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda), \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda) \langle -i \rangle) \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda), \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda) \langle -j \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

for some single $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by dimension; in fact, $j = 0$ by [AJS, 15.3.2]. Then, taking $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_I w_0}(\lambda), \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda)) \setminus 0$, $\text{im}(\eta) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{v}(\text{im}(\eta)) = \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$. This gives another proof that $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, and hence is rigid.

Corollary: *Assume the Lusztig conjecture. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on $\text{im}(\eta)$ is such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\bar{v}((\text{im}(\eta))_{\geq -i}) = \text{rad}_{G_1 T}^{\ell(w^I) - i} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) = \text{soc}_{G_1 T}^{i+1} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)).$$

Proof: As

$$\begin{aligned} \text{soc}_{G_1 T} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) &= \text{soc}_{G_1 T} Z_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda \langle w_0 \rangle) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &= \bar{v}(\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda \langle w_0 \rangle)_0) \quad \text{by (4.8),} \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{v}(\text{im}(\eta)_0) = \text{soc}_{G_1 T} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$, and hence the assertion.

5° The Loewy series

Keep the notations of §4. In this section we derive a formula to describe the socle series of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$. We continue to assume the Lusztig conjecture.

(5.1) Let us first recall from [AK] or from [AJS, 18.19] a formula for the socle series of $\hat{\nabla}(\lambda)$:

$$(1) \quad Q^{\mu,\lambda} = \sum_j q^{\frac{d(\mu,\lambda)-j}{2}} [\text{soc}_{j+1} \hat{\nabla}(\lambda) : \hat{L}(\mu)],$$

where $d(\mu, \lambda) = d(A, C)$ is the distance from alcove A containing μ to alcove C containing λ and $Q^{\mu,\lambda} = Q^{A,C}$ is a periodic inverse Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial defined in [L80].

On the other hand, the Lusztig conjecture for the $L_{I,1}T$ -modules asserts

$$(2) \quad \text{ch } \hat{L}^P(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in W_{I,p} \bullet \lambda} (-1)^{d_I(\mu,\lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu,\lambda}^I(1) \text{ch } \hat{\nabla}^P(\mu),$$

where $d_I(\mu, \lambda)$ is the distance from alcove A containing μ to alcove C containing λ with respect to $W_{I,p}$ and $\hat{P}_{\mu,\lambda}^I = \hat{P}_{A,C}^I$ is Kato's periodic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial for $W_{I,p}$ [Kat]. We will prove a formula

$$(3) \quad \sum_j q^{\frac{d(\mu,\lambda)-j}{2}} [\text{soc}_{j+1} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) : \hat{L}(\mu)] = \sum_{\nu \in W_{I,p} \bullet \lambda} Q^{\mu,\nu} (-1)^{d_I(\nu,\lambda)} \hat{P}_{\nu,\lambda}^I.$$

The formula reduces to (1) in case $I = \emptyset$, i.e., when P is a Borel subgroup. It also holds for $P = G$ by the inversion formula $\sum_{\nu} Q^{\mu,\nu} (-1)^{d(\nu,\lambda)} \hat{P}_{\nu,\lambda} = \delta_{\mu,\lambda}$ [L80, 11.10]/[Kat, p. 129].

(5.2) Let us write $\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) = \tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}^{w_0}(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then the formula (5.1.1) reads by (4.8) with $q^{\frac{1}{2}} = t$

$$(1) \quad Q^{\mu,\lambda}(t^2) = \sum_j t^{d(\mu,\lambda)-j} [\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) : \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mu) \langle -j \rangle].$$

If we write $Q^{\lambda,\nu}(t) = \sum_j Q_j^{\lambda,\nu} t^{\frac{j}{2}}$ with $Q_j^{\lambda,\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$, the formula (1) reads in the Grothendieck group of $E_{\Omega, \mathbb{k}} \mathbf{modgr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$(2) \quad [\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\mu \in \Omega} Q_{d(\mu,\lambda)-j}^{\mu,\lambda} [\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mu) \langle -j \rangle],$$

inverting which reads, if we write $\hat{P}_{\mu,\lambda}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{P}_{\mu,\lambda,j} t^{\frac{j}{2}}$,

$$(3) \quad [\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\mu \in \Omega} (-1)^{d(\mu,\lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu,\lambda,j+d(\mu,\lambda)} [\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mu) \langle j \rangle].$$

We now verify the formula (5.1.3).

Theorem: Assume the Lusztig conjecture. If λ is a p -regular weight, the Loewy series of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ is given by

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} q^{\frac{d(\mu,\lambda)-j}{2}} [\text{soc}_{j+1} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) : \hat{L}(\mu)] = \sum_{\nu \in W_{I,p} \bullet \lambda} Q^{\mu,\nu} (-1)^{d_I(\nu,\lambda)} \hat{P}_{\nu,\lambda}^I \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda.$$

Proof: Put $\tilde{\nabla}_P = J_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes_{E_{\Omega_I, \mathbb{k}}} ?$ from (3.8) for simplicity. In the Grothendieck group of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Omega_I)$ the formula (3) reads $[\tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in \Omega} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda, j+d_I(\mu, \lambda)}^I [\tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\mu) \langle j \rangle]$. Put $n_\lambda = \delta(\lambda) - \delta_I(\lambda)$, so $\tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) \simeq \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \langle n_\lambda \rangle$ by (3.8). As $\tilde{\nabla}_P$ is exact, so is $\tilde{\nabla}_P$ by (3.8) also. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda))] &= \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda, j+d_I(\mu, \lambda)}^I [\tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\mu)) \langle j \rangle] \\ &= \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda, j+d_I(\mu, \lambda)}^I [\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mu) \langle n_\mu + j \rangle] \\ &= \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda, j+d_I(\mu, \lambda)}^I \sum_{k, \nu} Q_{d(\nu, \mu)-k}^{\nu\mu} [\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\nu) \langle n_\mu + j - k \rangle] \\ &= \sum_{\mu, j, \nu, k} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda, j+d_I(\mu, \lambda)}^I Q_{d(\nu, \mu)-k}^{\nu\mu} [\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\nu) \langle n_\mu + j - k \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall now $\text{im}(\eta)$ from (4.9). As $\tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \leq \tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, $\tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) \leq \tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{\nabla}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) \simeq \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda) \langle n_\lambda \rangle$. As $\text{im}(\eta) \leq \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbb{k}}(\lambda)$, it follows that $\tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) \simeq \text{im}(\eta) \langle n_\lambda \rangle$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{soc}_{i+1} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) : \hat{L}(\nu)] &= [\text{im}(\eta) : \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\nu) \langle -i \rangle] \quad \text{by (4.9)} \\ &= [\tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) \langle -n_\lambda \rangle : \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\nu) \langle -i \rangle] = [\tilde{\nabla}_P(\tilde{L}_{I, \mathbb{k}}(\lambda)) : \tilde{L}_{\mathbb{k}}(\nu) \langle n_\lambda - i \rangle] \\ &= \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda, j+d_I(\mu, \lambda)}^I Q_{d(\nu, \mu)+\delta(\lambda)-\delta_I(\lambda)-\delta(\mu)+\delta_I(\mu)-i-j}^{\nu\mu} \\ &= \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda, j+d_I(\mu, \lambda)}^I Q_{d(\nu, \lambda)-d_I(\mu, \lambda)-i-j}^{\nu\mu} \\ &= \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda j}^I Q_{d(\nu, \lambda)-i-j}^{\nu\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i t^{d(\nu, \lambda)-i} [\text{soc}_{i+1} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda)) : \hat{L}(\nu)] &= \sum_i t^{d(\nu, \lambda)-i} \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda j}^I Q_{d(\nu, \lambda)-i-j}^{\nu\mu} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{\mu, j} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda j}^I t^j Q_{d(\nu, \lambda)-i-j}^{\nu\mu} t^{d(\nu, \lambda)-i-j} \\ &= \sum_{\mu} \sum_j (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu\lambda j}^I t^j \sum_k Q_k^{\nu\mu} t^k \\ &= \sum_{\mu} (-1)^{d_I(\mu, \lambda)} \hat{P}_{\mu, \lambda}^I(t^2) Q^{\nu, \mu}(t^2), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(5.3) Given a simple G_1T -module, the formula (5.1.3) is not necessarily accessible to locate a simple factor in the Loewy layers of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$. The following are particularly important factors in the study of the Frobenius direct image of the structure sheaf of G/P [HKR], [KY]. Let $W^I = \{w \in W \mid \ell(w w') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \ \forall w' \in W_I\}$, which forms a complete set of representatives of W/W_I .

Proposition: Assume the Lusztig conjecture. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be p -regular. If $w \in W^I$, $L((w \bullet \lambda)^0) \otimes p(w^{-1} \bullet (w \bullet \lambda)^1)$ appears in the $(\ell(w) + 1)$ -st socle layer of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$.

Proof: In the commutative diagram (4.6.1) put $\phi = \phi_{w^I}$. Write $w^I = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\dots s_{i_m}$ in a reduced expression with $m = \ell(w^I)$, and put $y_r = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\dots s_{i_r}$ for $r \leq m$. Then $y_r^{-1}w^I = s_{i_{r+1}}\dots s_{i_m} \in W^I$. Recall from [AK] that $\phi_{w^I} : \hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda)\langle w^I \rangle) \rightarrow \hat{\nabla}(w^I \bullet \lambda)$ is the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_{w^I}((w^I \bullet \lambda)\langle w^I \rangle) &= \hat{\nabla}_{s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_m}}((w^I \bullet \lambda)\langle s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_m} \rangle) \xrightarrow{\phi_m} \\ \hat{\nabla}_{s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_{m-1}}}((w^I \bullet \lambda)\langle s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_{m-1}} \rangle) &\xrightarrow{\phi_{m-1}} \hat{\nabla}_{s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_{m-2}}}((w^I \bullet \lambda)\langle s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_{m-2}} \rangle) \xrightarrow{\phi_{m-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \\ \hat{\nabla}_{s_{i_1}}((w^I \bullet \lambda)\langle s_{i_1} \rangle) &\xrightarrow{\phi_1} \hat{\nabla}(w^I \bullet \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Put $L = \text{soc}_{\hat{\nabla}_{y_r}}((w^I \bullet \lambda)\langle y_r \rangle) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0)$ and $\phi'_r = \{(\phi_{r+1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_m) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0)\}|_{w^I \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))}$. As $\phi'_m \neq 0$ and as each ϕ_i annihilates the socle of its domain, we must have $\ell(\text{im} \phi'_r) = \ell(\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) - (m - r) = r + 1$ by (4.6). Then $L = \text{soc}(\text{im} \phi'_r) = \text{rad}_r(\text{im} \phi'_r)$, which is a quotient of $\text{rad}_r^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$. Thus L lies in $\text{rad}_r^{w^I} \hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$. It follows from the rigidity of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))$ that $(w^I)^{-1}L$ appears in its socle layer of level $\ell(\hat{\nabla}_P(\hat{L}^P(\lambda))) - r = \ell(w^I) + 1 - r = m + 1 - r = \ell(y_r^{-1}w^I) + 1$. Recall now from [AK, 1.2.4] that

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \hat{L}((y_r^{-1} \bullet (w^I \bullet \lambda))^0 + p(y_r \bullet (y_r^{-1} \bullet (w^I \bullet \lambda))^1)) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0) \\ &= \hat{L}((y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda)^0 + p(y_r \bullet (y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda))^1) \otimes -p(w^I \bullet 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (w^I)^{-1}L &= L((y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda)^0) \otimes p\{(w^I)^{-1}\{y_r \bullet (y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda)^1 - (w^I \bullet 0)\}\} \\ &= L((y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda)^0) \otimes p\{(w^I)^{-1}y_r \bullet (y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda)^1\} \\ &= L((y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda)^0) \otimes p\{(y_r^{-1}w^I)^{-1} \bullet (y_r^{-1}w^I \bullet \lambda)^1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we check that any $w \in W^I$ may be realized as $y_r^{-1}w^I$ as above. Let $w \in W^I$. As $\ell(w w_I) = \ell(w) + \ell(w_I)$, one can write $w_0 = s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_r} w w_I$ with $r = \ell(w_0) - \ell(w) - \ell(w_I)$. Then $w^I = w_0 w_I = s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_r} w$ with $\ell(w^I) = r + \ell(w)$. Thus, putting $y_r = s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_r}$ yields $w = y_r^{-1}w^I$, as desired.

(5.4) **Remark:** This is a generalization of [KY, 1.5], [K09] and [K12, 3.5]. In case $\lambda = 0$ we constructed for G of rank at most 2 [KY] or in case $G = GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{k})$ and P is maximal parabolic such that $G/P \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [K09] a Karoubian complete strongly exceptional sequence $\{\mathcal{E}_w \mid w \in W^I\}$ for the bounded derived category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}/P_{\mathbb{C}}}$ -modules out of $G_1 \mathbf{Mod}(L((w \bullet 0)^0), \text{soc}_{\ell(w)+1} \hat{\nabla}_P(0))$, where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the groups over the complex number field corresponding to G and P , respectively. Our (5.3) assures at least that $G_1 \mathbf{Mod}(L((w \bullet 0)^0), \text{soc}_{\ell(w)+1} \hat{\nabla}_P(0)) \neq 0$ in general for large p .

References

- [AJS] Andersen, H.H., Jantzen, J.C. and Soergel, W., Representations of quantum groups at a p -th root of unity and of semisimple groups in characteristic p : independence of p , *Astérisque* **220**, 1994 (SMF)
- [AK] Andersen, H.H. and Kaneda, M., *Loewy series of modules for the first Frobenius kernel in a reductive algebraic group*, *Proc. LMS* (3) **59** (1989), 74–98
- [AM] Atiyah, M. and Macdonald, I.G., *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Reading 1969 (Addison-Wesley)
- [BGS] Beilinson, A., Ginzburg, D. and Soergel, W., *Koszul Duality Patterns in Representation Theory*, *J. AMS* **9** No.2 (1996), 473–527
- [F] Fiebig, P., *Sheaves on affine Schubert varieties, modular representations and Lusztig’s conjecture*, *J. AMS* **24** (2011), 133–181
- [HKR] Hashimoto, Y., Kaneda, M. and Rumynin, D., *On localization of $\bar{D}$ -modules*, in “Representations of Algebraic Groups, Quantum Groups, and Lie Algebras,” *Contemp. Math.* 413 (2006), 43–62
- [J] Jantzen, J. C., *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, 2003 (American Math. Soc.)
- [KY] Kaneda, M. and Ye, J.-C., *Some observations on Karoubian complete strongly exceptional posets on the projective homogeneous varieties* , arXiv:0911.2568v2 [math.RT]
- [K09] Kaneda, M., *The structure of Humphreys-Verma modules for projective spaces*, *J. Alg.* **322** (2009), Pages 237–244
- [K12] Kaneda, M., *Homomorphisms between neighboring G_1T -Verma modules*, *Contemp. Math.* **565** (2012), 105–113
- [KT] Kashiwara, M. and Tanisaki, T., *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras with negative level II. Nonintegral case*, *Duke Math. J.* **84** (1996), 771–813.
- [Kat] Kato, S., *On the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for affine Weyl groups*, *Adv. Math.* **55** (1995), 103–130
- [KL] Kazhdan, D. and Lusztig, G., *Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras I, II*, *J. AMS* **6** (1993), 905–1011; *Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras III, IV*, *J. AMS* **7** (1994), 335–453
- [L80] Lusztig, G., *Hecke algebras and Jantzen’s generic decomposition patterns*, *Adv. Math.* **37** (1980), 121–164
- [L94] Lusztig, G., *Monodromic systems on affine flag manifolds*, *Proc. R. S. London A* **445**(1994), 231–246; *Errata*, **450**(1995), 731–732
- [Mat] Matsumura, H., *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge 1990 (Cambridge Univ. Press)